#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 037 212 JC 700 070

AUTHOR Greive, Donald E.

TITLE A Survey of Student Attitudes.

INSTITUTION Cuyahoga Community Coll., Cleveland, Ohio.

PUP DATE Dec 69 NOTE 121p.

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.50 HC-\$6.15

DESCRIPTORS \*Junior Colleges, Student Attitudes, \*Student

Characteristics, \*Student Opinion, Surveys

IDENTIFIERS Ohio

#### ABSTRACT

at Cuyahoga Community College (Ohio) was conducted in 1969 to determine whether these students differed from typical college students in attitudes, ideas, and cultural background, and whether they were satisfied with the instructional program and services at Cuyahoga. Student attitudes toward their peers and the staff and opinions as to whether or not Cuyahoga provides the education expected by the students were also explored. Data were obtained from a questionnaire that was completed by 762 students who were assumed to be representative of the 2,000 students surveyed. The findings showed that the Cuyahoga students did not differ greatly from typical junior college students. They were largely favorable in their evaluation of instruction, instructors, student services, and in their reaction to their peers and the professional staff. (MS)



ED037218

0 70

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

**CUYAHOGA** 

COMMUNITY

COLLEGE

LiAR 13 1970

**CLEARINGHOUSE FOR** JUNIOR COLLEGE INFORMATION



AN INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROJECT OF THE OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH, OFFICE OF EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT



January 7, 1970

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

# Dear Reader:

Since the opening of the College in 1963, we have examined the traits of our students as they have arrived on campus. We have also solicited their appraisal of the College and have measured their success after leaving. During the spring of 1969 a major study was undertaken to assess students' attitudes while enrolled. This report is the result of that effort. The report includes the following responses:

- Over three-quarters of the students on both campuses rated the instruction as excellent or good. This rating included opinions of students regardless of their grade point average.
- When asked to indicate their greatest satisfaction at the College, students pointed to student-faculty relations and the quality of instruction.
- A large majority of the students indicated that the Counseling Service was good or excellent in helping them to explore interests and goals.
- Seventy per cent of the students who used the Library regularly rated it as excellent or good.
- Ninety per cent of the students felt that the rules of the College were fair and logical.
- Eighty-five per cent of the students stated that they would enroll at Cuyahoga Community College if they had to do it over again.

To those of us associated with the College, this appraisal is a source of gratification - it is heartening to learn that our collective efforts bear fruit. Too, it is reflective of a high degree of professionalism and to a commitment of institutional goals among those responsible for carrying out the College's instructional program. Results of the study provide us with the opportunity to analyze areas that are pertinent to us as "teachers" and aid us in our efforts to make Cuyahoga Community College an even better college.



To those in the community who are not associated with the College, we present the study as a Progress Report and as another expression of our commitment to the continuing development of an institution concerned with comprehensive and relevant educational offerings.

Sincerely yours,

Ancles E. Chapman

President

# A SURVEY OF STUDENT ATTITUDES

Donald E. Greive

Office of Institutional Research and Studies

Office of Executive Vice President

Cuyahoga Community College Cleveland, Ohio December 29, 1969



# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was made possible because of the efforts of many
Cuyahoga Community College staff members. The assistance offered in
the completion of the questionnaire by administrative and faculty
members was greatly appreciated. The efforts of the staff of the
Computer Center in the tabulation of the data was invaluable to the
study. The hours of work expended by the staff of the Office of
Institutional Research and Studies should also be recognized. Finally,
the efforts of the Production Lab and its staff were necessary to
make this document a reality.

It is hoped that all members in the academic community will find this study of value and worth the efforts put forth by all concerned. Suggestions for improvements from those using this document are always welcome and will be appreciated.

Donald E. Greive



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I NTRODU(	CTION	1
Section		
ı	PURPOSE	2
11	DATA COLLECTION	3
111	FINDINGS	5
	Description of the Population Attitudes Regarding Peers Attitudes Regarding Instruction Attitudes Regarding Counseling Services Attitudes Regarding the College and Policies of the College Attitudes Toward Student Services Attitudes Toward Library Service	5 22 28 47 54 71 85
IV	CONCLUSION	98
	Analysis of Sample	98 101 102 103 105 105
APPEND !	x	108
	Ouestionnaire - Student Opinionaire	109



Table		Page
1.	Sex - By Degree	7
2.	Age of Students - By Campus	8
3.	Age of Students Compared to Grade Point Averages - By Campus	9
4.	Reported Grade Point Average - By Campus	10
5.	Major Area of Study - By Campus	11-12
6.	Hours Employed	13
7.	Hours Employed - By Grade Point Average	14
8.	Travel Time to and From Campus - By Campus	15
9.	Travel Time to and From Campus - By Grade Point Average	16
10.	Plans After Leaving Cuyahoga Community College - By Campus	17
11.	Plans After Leaving Cuyahoga Community College - By Degree	18
12.	Plans to Return in Fall - 3y Campus	19
13.	Plans to Return in Fall - By Grade Point Average	20
14.	Stated Plans of Students Not Returning in the Fall - By Campus	2
15.	College Spirit or Feeling of Common Goals - By Campus	23
16.	College Spirit or Feeling of Common Goals - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	21
17.	Willingness of Other Students to Associate With Persons of Different Race, Creed and Color - By Campus	25
18.	Willingness of Other Students to Associate With Persons of Different Race, Creed and Color - By Grade Point Average	26
19.	Willingness of Other Students to Associate With Persons of Different Race, Creed and Color - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	27



Гаb	le		Page
	20.	Student Evaluation of Instruction - By Campus	30
	21.	Student Evaluation of Instruction - By Grade Point Average	31
	22.	Student Evaluation of Instruction by Returning and Non-Returning Students	32
	23.	Instructors Know Students by First Name - By Campus	33
	24.	Instructors Know Students by First Name - By Grade Point Average	34
	25.	Success in Meeting With Professors During Office Hours By Campus	35
	26.	Success in Meeting With Professors During Office Hours - By Grade Point Average	36
	27.	Success in Meeting With Professors During Office Hours - By Return ng and Non-Returning Students	37
	28.	Students' Opportunity to Disagree With Professors - By Campus	38
	29.	Students' Opportunity to Disagree With Professors - By Degree	39
	30.	Students' Opportunity to Disagree With Professors - By Grade Point Average	40
	31.	Success of Professors in Challenging Student to Do Best Work - By Campus	41
	32.	Success of Professors in Challenging Student to Do Best Work - By Grade Point Average	42
	33.	Success of Professors in Challenging Student to Do Best Work - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	43
	34.	Student Opinion of Percent of Outstanding Professors - By Campus	41
	35.	Student Opinion of Percent of Outstanding Professors -  Ry Grade Point Average	4!



Table			Page
•	36.	Student Opinion of Percent of Outstanding Professors - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	46
:	37.	Availability of College Counselor - By Campus	48
•	38.	Availability of College Counselor - By Grade Point Average	49
•	39.	Counselor Help in Exploring Interest and Goals - By Campus	50
1	40.	Counselor Help in Exploring Interests and Goals - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	5
1	41.	Certainty of Major Field - By Campus	52
1	42.	Certainty of Major Field - By Grade Point Average	53
1	43.	Rules Fair and Logical - By Campus	56
ı	44.	Rules Fair and Logical - By Grade Point Average	57
ı	45.	Rules Fair and Logical - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	58
1	46.	Reasons Given for Students Stating Rules Not Fair - By Campus	59
1	47.	Quality of Help Rendered by Professional Staff - By Campus	60
1	48.	Quality of Help Rendered by Professional Staff - By Grade Point Average	6
1	49.	Professional Staff's Courtesy - By Campus	62
!	50.	Professional Staff's Courtesy - By Grade Point Average .	63
!	51.	Would Student Enroll at Cuyahoga Community College Again - By Campus	61
!	52.	Would Student Enroll at Cuyahoga Community College Again - By Grade Point Average	6
	53.	Would Student Enroll at Cuyahoga Community College Again - By Returning and Non-Returning Students	60



Table		Page
54.	Major Reason Why Student Would Enter Cuyahoga Community College Again - By Campus	67
55.	Major Reason Why Student Would Not Enter Cuyahoga Community College Again - By Campus	68
56.	Greatest Dissatisfaction With Cuyahoga Community College - By Campus	69
57.	Greatest Satisfaction With Cuyahoga Community College - By Campus	70
58.	Desire for Organized Orientation - By Campus	73
59.	Information That Student Felt Would Have Been Use- ful Upon Entering Cuyahoga Community College - By Campus	74
60.	Hours Per Week in Extra-Curricular Activities - By Campus	75
61.	Hours Per Week in Extra-Curricular Activities - By Degree	76
62.	Hours Per Week in Extra Curricular Activities - By Grade Point Average	77
63.	Effectiveness of Student Government in Representing Students - By Campus	78
64.	Effectiveness of Student Government in Representing Students - By Grade Point Average	<b>7</b> 9
65.	Evaluation of Opportunity to Participate in Activities By Campus	80
66.	Evaluation of Opportunity to Participate in Activities By Degree	81
67.	Admissions and Records Office Service - By Campus	82
68.	Knowledge of Financial Assistance Before Entering Cuyahoga Community College - By Campus	83
69.	Student Health Center Service - By Campus ,	81



Table		raye
70.	Availability of Professional Help in Library - By Campus	87
71.	Availability of Professional Help in Library - By Degree	88
72.	Newspaper Collection in Library - By Campus	89
73.	Periodical Collection in Library - By Campus	90
74.	Periodical Collection in Library - By Grade Point Average	91
75.	Book Collection in Library - By Campus	92
76.	Book Collection in Library - By Grade Point Average.	93
77.	College Library Hours - By Campus	94
78.	a serve a livel Note Cards a Ry Campus	95
79.	Bibliographical Note Cards - By Grade Point Average.	96
80.	Note Cards - Ry Degree	9,7



## INTRODUCTION

This study of student opinions and attitudes at Cuyahoga Community College was conducted in the spring of 1969 to assist the academic community in accessing the students and their needs at Cuyahoga Community College. The data for this report was collected by mail survey questionnaire in June of 1969. It is felt that the response to this questionnaire, a response of 38 percent from a randomized sample of students throughout the District, is sufficient to adequately substantiate validity for this type of study.

The study is divided into four sections. The first section of the study outlines the purposes of the study. The second section indicates the method of data collection and a description of the tabulation utilized in the study. The third section presents the findings of the study. It is largely graphical in its presentation of the data with a summary of the findings at the beginning of each part of Section III. Section IV of the study presents the conclusions reached in analysis of the data and shows comparisons with other two-year college students and college students in general.



## 1 - PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to determine the opinions of students relative to their experiences at Cuyahoga Community College. There were several areas in which the students' opinions and ideas were solicited. The areas can best be defined by four basic questions about the student body and Cuyahoga Community College. This study has attempted to answer these questions:

- 1. Are college students at Cuyahoga Community College different from the typical college student in terms of attitudes, ideas, and cultural background?
- 2. Do the students feel that the instructional program and services at Cuyahoga Community College are serving their purposes?
- 3. How do the students regard their peers and the professional staff at Cuyahoga Community College?
- 4. Is Cuyahoga Community College providing the education that the students expected when they enrolled?



#### 11 - DATA COLLECTION

The data for this study was obtained from a survey questionnaire prepared by the Office of Institutional Research and the Office of Executive Vice President. The preliminary questionnaire was distributed in the spring of 1969, through the President's council, to staff members on both campuses. Staff members were requested to make suggestions, changes, additions, or deletions to the questionnaire. After all trial questionnaires were returned, the final revised document was assembled. The questionnaire was mailed to 2,000 students who were enrolled at Cuyahoga Community College in the spring of 1969. A return of 762 was realized. It is assumed that this return, which represents 38 percent of the population surveyed is representative of student opinion. The students to whom the questionnaire was mailed were selected at random and were asked not to identify themselves in their rep!y so that bias could be minimized in the findings. The questionnaire used for collection of data in this study is attached to the appendix of this report.

The data and the findings of this report were tabulated in four ways: a summary report of the responses of all students, by campus at which the student was enrolled, by degree being pursued, and by grade point average. The tables shown in the findings of this study reflect this tabulation when it is considered that there are differences important enough to be recognized. If responses do not differ in terms of campus, degree, or GPA, a summary report only is shown. Open-ended responses, where students were asked to write in answers, were categorized by the



number of responses for identifiable areas. The findings in this study reflect only those open-ended responses where a sufficient number of answers were provided to indicate importance to the student.

The tabulation in this study show percentage figures as well as the number of responses, so that trends of groups of students can be observed.

Considering the length of the questionnaire used, the responses to the questions in this study are very high. This indicates that the respondents to the questionnaire were conscientious and gave thought to their responses. However, in areas where no opinion or no responses were tabulated, the no replies are shown so that they might be considered with the data recorded.



#### III - FINDINGS

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE POPULATION

The 762 students who participated in this study appear to constitute a sample of students that is representative of the Cuyahoga Community College student body. Of the respondents, 62 percent were males and 38 percent were females. The number of students pursuing an Associate in Arts, Associate in Science, or no degree, appeared to be approximately equally divided. The most predominant age groups represented in the responses were the age groups of 17-19 and 20-22, which accounted for over 85 percent of the respondents on both campuses. The responses by grade point average on both campuses showed approximately 60 percent of the participants in the grade range of 2.0-2.9; approximately 55 percent were in the grade range of 3.0-4.0; approximately 13 percent were in the grade point range of 1.0-1.9; and 1 percent had a reported grade point average of less than 1.0. Practically all curriculum areas and disciplines in the college, that could be considered major fields of study, are represented in the sample. The respondents to this questionnaire indicated over 60 different major areas of study. The leading areas reported: business, education, accounting, nursing, psychology and law enforcement are consistent with the leading areas of enrollment of all students at Cuyahoga Community College. The majority of respondents indicated that they were employed 11 or more hours per week with approximately 23 percent of the respondents who were not employed and approximately 10 percent who were employed less than 10 hours per week. The majority of the respondents on the Western



Campus indicated that they spend less than 30 minutes to reach campus for their classes while the largest percentage on the Metropolitan Campus spend 30 minutes to 1 hour.

When asked their intentions after leaving Cuyahoga Community
College, over 70 percent of the respondents in the sample indicated that
they plan to pursue a baccalaureate degree either on a full-time or a
part-time basis while only 14 percent on the Western Campus and 20 percent on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that they intended to work
full-time. Over two-thirds of the students who participated in this
study indicated that they planned to return to Cuyahoga Community
College in the fall. The majority of those who indicated that they do
not plan to return stated that they planned to enter a four-year college.

The analysis of the sample, which will be discussed in more detail in the conclusion of the study, indicates that the findings in the succeeding pages are findings that reflect a representative yet diverse sample of students enrolled at Cuyahoga Community College.



TABLE I
SEX
BY DEGREE

Sex	Associa No.	ate in Arts %	Associat No.	e in Science %	No R No.	eply %	All S	tudents %
Male	156	64%	154	<b>56</b> %	163	67%	473	ó.
Female	88	36%	118	44%	81	3 <b>3</b> %	287	38
No Reply	1		ı				2	• -
Total	245	100%	273	100%	7.44	100%	762	100.



TABLE 2

AGE OF STUDENTS BY CAMPUS

								CAMPUS								
					WESTERN	l						METROP	OI I TAN			
Age	Ma	Male	Female	ale	No Reply		All Students	dents	Male	9	Fem	Female No Reply	NO R	epTy	ATT Students	udents
	S	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
17 - 19	09	33%	89	28%	!	;	128	<b>78</b> %	88	30%	17	45%	:	;	159	34%
20 - 22	104	21%	38	32%	!	!	142	7.4	168	26%	72	75%	;	;	240	25%
23 - 25	17	%	2	%†7	;	!	22	7%	8	%9	Ξ	<b>%9</b>	-	20%	30	%
0ver 25	~	%1	9	%9	;	1	თ	3%	15	2%	16	%0 l	;	!	3	%
No reply	!	ŀ	!	i	;	1	;	1,	!	!!	!	;	-	20%		1
Total	184	100%	117	100%	;	;	301	%001	289	100%	170	100%	7	100%	194	100%



TABLE 3
AGE OF STUDENTS COMPARED TO GRADE POINT AVERAGES
BY CAMPUS

			%	%	<b>×</b> º	<b>~</b> °	%	×°	%0
		Tric		%1	56 12%	298 65%	101 21%	%	100
		10	No.			298	101	<u>~</u>	1947
		Vo Replyl Told	No		100%	:	!	;	%001 19 <del>1</del>   %00!
JS		O	No.			!	_		·
CAMP			110. /c		ł I	%8 <sub>1</sub>	787	74%	) · 0%
ΤάN	AGE	25+	150.	-		67% 15 48%	23% 15 48%		ر.)
METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	A(	- ;	No. %	+	10%	<i>67%</i>	23%	-	30 100/ 31 100%
ETRO		23	No.	-	~	20	7	!	30
Σ.			No. 6		15%	%59	) % 19%	.`	160
		20-22	No.	_	36 15%	157 65%	%61 Sty	~	240 160.
		61	%	%1	%0	57%	21%	2	): ):
		17-19	No.	2	%01 91	106 67%	34 21%		159100/
			%		14%	%09	25%	%	100%
		Tota	No.	: :	42	180	77	7	30: 1:02
	-	No Reply	`.`	!	!	1	!	i I	
		No P	No.	-	!	-	1	l t	ŀ
4PUS		_	>	-	1	Į Į	100٪	!	100;
CA	AGE	25+	No.	1	<u> </u>	!	0	ŀ	
WESTERN CAMPUS	1	55	%		10%	%54	45%	   	7,00
WE		123-25	No.	-	7	10		1	22
			: \	;	%[	<b>65</b> % 10	24% 10	!	%00
		20-22	∾	<u></u>	91			!	1421
		<u>ق</u>		!	91 %61	60%   93	19% 33	2%	%001
		17-19	S S	:	54	11	25	2	128 100% 142 100% 22 100% 9
1	Grade	Point	Average	Below 1.00	6.1 - 0.1	2.0 - 2.9	3.0 - 4.0	No Reply	Total

9

ERIC Full feet Provided by ERIC

TABLE 4
REPORTED GRADE POINT AVERAGE
BY CAMPUS

Grade				WESTER	WESTERN CAMPUS	·US					ME	TROPOL	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	AMPUS	
Point	Male		Female	e	No Reply	y l dí	A11 S1	All Students	Male		Female	е	No Reply	sp l y	All Students
Average	NO.		No.	%	NC.	%	No.	%	No.	ž.	No.	%	No.	1/	No. %
Below 1.0	1	!	:		-	;		!	2	1%	_	%	! !	;	3 1%
1.0 - 1.9	34	18%	∞	%_	;	!	745	14%	717	15%	Ξ	%9	-	20%	56 12%
2.0 - 2.9	119	<b>65%</b>	19	25%	ŀ	<u> </u>	180	%09	194	%89	103	%19	-	%05	<b>298</b> 65%
3.0 - 4.0	30	17%	47	%04	;	ŀ	77	79%	24	<b> </b> %91	54	32%	;	:	101 21%
No Reply	_	!	_	%1	;	ŀ	_	!	7		-	!	-	!	3 1%
Total	184	%00 l	%001 211	%00L	!	!!	301	100%	%C01 687	%co	170 100%	%00	7	7001	%001 1 <b>9</b> <del>1</del>

TABLE 5

MAJOR AREA OF STUDY
BY CAMPUS

Aeronautics       -       3         Aerospace       1       -         Architecture       1       3         Art       10       5         Aviation       3       -         Biology       7       4         Broadcasting       -       2         Building Construction       3       2         Business       52       71       1         Chemistry       -       3       24         Dental Hygiene       1       15       15         Dental Hygiene       1       15       15         Drama       -       1       1       45         Electronics       4       22       23       4 <th></th> <th>Western</th> <th>Metropolitan</th> <th>Total</th>		Western	Metropolitan	Total
Aeronautics       -       3         Aerospace       1       -         Architecture       1       3         Art       10       5         Aviation       3       -         Biology       7       4         Broadcasting       -       2         Building Construction       3       2         Business       52       71       1         Chemistry       -       3       2         Business       52       71       1         Chemistry       -       3       2         Business       52       71       1         Chemistry       -       3       2         Data Processing       13       24       24         Dental Hygiene       1       15       15         Drama       -       1       1       45         Electronics       4       22       2 <td>Accounting</td> <td>9</td> <td>35</td> <td>44</td>	Accounting	9	35	44
Architecture Art Art Art Art Aviation Biology Broadcasting Building Construction Business 52 Chemistry Data Processing Dental Hygiene Drama Leducation Electronics Elementary Education Elementary Education Elengineering English Food Management Forestry French Geography History History Home Economics Hotel Management Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Liberal Arts Liberal Arts Liberal Technology I A Building Broadcasting Broadcastin	<del>-</del>	-	3	3
Architecture Art Art Art Aviation Biology Broadcasting Building Construction Business 52 Chemistry Data Processing Dental Hygiene Drama Leducation Electronics Elementary Education Elementary Education Elementary Education English Food Management Forestry French Geography History History Home Economics Hotel Management Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Liberal Arts Liberal Arts Liberal Arts Liberal Arts Liberal Fechnology I A Building Base Add Base Ad	Aerospace	1	<b>-</b>	1
Art Aviation	•	1	3	4
Aviation Biology Broadcasting Broadcasting Building Construction Business  52 Business 52 Chemistry		10	5	15
Biology   7	Aviation	3	-	3
Broadcasting   -		7	. 4	11
Building Construction         3         2           Business         52         71           Chemistry         -         3           Data Processing         13         24           Dental Hygiene         1         15           Drama         -         1           Education         41         45           Electronics         4         22           Elementary Education         15         17           Engineering         15         19           English         13         8           Food Management         -         1           Forestry         -         -           French         2         2           Geography         1         -           History         5         7           Home Economics         3         -           Hotel Management         3         -           Humanities         -         1           International Studies         1         -           Journalism         2         -           Law         -         1           Law         1         1           Law         1	<u> </u>	-	2	2
Business         52         71         1           Chemistry         -         3         24           Dental Processing         13         24         15           Dental Hygiene         1         15         15           Drama         -         1         14         15         16         17         17         18         19         18         19         18         19         18         19         18         19         18         19         18         19         18         18         19         18		3	2	2
Chemistry		52	71	123
Data Processing			_	3
Dental Hygiene         1         15           Drama         -         1           Education         41         45           Electronics         4         22           Elementary Education         15         17           Engineering         15         19           English         13         8           Food Management         -         1           Forestry         1         -           French         2         2           Geography         1         -           History         5         7           Home Economics         3         -           Hotel Management         3         -           Humanities         -         1           Insurance         -         1           International Studies         1         -           Journalism         2         -           Law         -         1           Law Enforcement         1         1           Liberal Arts         1         14           Library Technology         -         1           Management         -         2           Marketing         3		13		37
Drama		1 1		16
Education       41       45         Electronics       4       22         Elementary Education       15       17         Engineering       15       19         English       13       8         Food Management       -       1         Forestry       1       -         French       2       2         Geography       1       -         History       5       7         Home Economics       3       -         Hotel Management       3       -         Humanities       -       1         Insurance       -       1         International Studies       1       -         Journalism       2       -         Law       -       1         Law Enforcement       6       33         Liberal Arts       1       14         Library Technology       -       1         Marketing       3       1         Mechanical Technology       1       3			1 1	1
Electronics       4       22         Elementary Education       15       17         Engineering       15       19         English       13       8         Food Management       -       1         Forestry       -       -         French       2       2         Geography       1       -         History       5       7         Home Economics       3       -         Hotel Management       3       -         Humanities       -       1         Insurance       -       1         International Studies       1       -         Journalism       2       -         Law       -       1         Law Enforcement       6       33         Liberal Arts       1       14         Library Technology       -       1         Management       -       2         Marketing       3       1         Mechanical Technology       1       3	<del> </del>	41	45	86
Elementary Education				26
English		i i		32
English       13       8         Food Management       -       1         Forestry       1       -         French       2       2         Geography       1       -         History       5       7         Home Economics       3       -         Hotel Management       3       -         Humanities       -       1         Insurance       -       1         International Studies       1       -         Journalism       2       -         Law       -       1         Law Enforcement       6       33         Liberal Arts       1       14         Library Technology       -       1         Management       -       2         Marketing       3       1         Mechanical Technology       1       3		•	_	34
Food Management Forestry French Geography History Home Economics Hotel Management Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Library Technology Management Marketing Mechanical Technology  I				l 21
Forestry French Geography History Home Economics Hotel Management Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Library Technology Management Marketing Mechanical Technology  1  1			1 1	l i
French       2       2         Geography       1       -         History       5       7         Home Economics       3       -         Hotel Management       3       -         Humanities       -       1         Insurance       -       1         International Studies       1       -         Journalism       2       -         Law       -       1         Law Enforcement       6       33         Liberal Arts       1       14         Library Technology       -       1         Management       -       2         Marketing       3       1         Mechanical Technology       1       3		1	_	i
Geography	-	2	2	4
History Home Economics Hotel Management Humanities Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Library Technology Management Marketing Mechanical Technology  5 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8		l ī	-	1
Home Economics Hotel Management  Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Library Technology Management Marketing Mechanical Technology  3	<u> </u>	<b>1</b>	7	12
Hotel Management Humanities Insurance International Studies Journalism Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Library Technology Management Marketing Mechanical Technology  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1	-	1 3		3
Humanities - I Insurance - I International Studies I - Journalism 2 - I Law Enforcement 6 33 Liberal Arts I I4 Library Technology - I Management - 2 Marketing I Mechanical Technology I 3		3	1	1 3
Insurance International Studies I		-	1	l î
International Studies  Journalism  Law  Law  Law Enforcement  Liberal Arts  Library Technology  Management  Marketing  Mechanical Technology  I  Journalism  2  -  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1		_	1	1
Journalism  Law Law Enforcement Liberal Arts Library Technology  Management  Marketing  Mechanical Technology  2  - 1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1		1	_	i
Law       -       1         Law Enforcement       6       33         Liberal Arts       1       14         Library Technology       -       1         Management       -       2         Marketing       3       1         Mechanical Technology       1       3		2	_	2
Law Enforcement  Liberal Arts  Library Technology  Management  Marketing  Mechanical Technology   6  33  14  14  2  3  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1			1	1
Liberal Arts Library Technology  Management  Marketing  Mechanical Technology  1  14  12  2  1  14  1  14  1  1  14  1  1  14  1  1		6	33	39
Library Technology - 1 Management - 2 Marketing 3 1 Mechanical Technology 1 3		i		15
Management - 2 Marketing 3 1 Mechanical Technology 1 3		-	1	1 1
Marketing 3 1 Mechanical Technology 1 3		1 -	2	2
Mechanical Technology 1 3		1 3	1 1	4
		1 i	3	4
Medical Assisting 2 10	<u> </u>	2	10	12

TABLE 5--Continued

	Western	Metropolitan	lotai
Micro-Photography	-	1	1
Modern Language	-	1	1
Music	5	5	10
Nursery School Assistant	1 1	10	11
Nursing	20	<b>62</b>	82
Pharmacy	-	3	3
Philosophy	1 1	2	3
Physical Education	3	5 2	3 3 8 3
Physics	1 1	2	3
Political Science	2	3	5
Pre-Med	1 1	-	1
Pre-Law	l i	1 '	2
Psychology	10	15	25
Public Relations	1 1	ĺ	2
Retailing	3	3	6 2 8
Sales	_	2	2
Science	6	2 2 8	8
Secretarial Science	5	8	13
Social Science	4	6	10
Social Work	1		4
Sociology	4	3 9 2	13
Span i sh	_	2	13 2
Speech	1	2	3
Technical Drawing	1	-	1
Technology		1	1
Theater-Radio	2	-	2
Theater	ī	1	2
Fire Technology		i	1
Transfer	_	i	li
Transportation		2	2
Urban Technology	1 1	_ _	1 1
Wildlife Conservation		3	3
Undecided	3	17	20
Olidee I ded		• •	



TABLE 6 HOURS EMPLOYED

							CIMPII	110			- ,  -				
							ווייין ווייין	20		MF	METROPOL I TAN	TAN			
•				WESTERN			#		-	1000	-	No Reply	- \\( \)	All S	All Students
+ Hours +			Fomo 10	N ON	No Renly	All Students	idents	Male		רמוום ומ	וט				6
	Male	0	ביווס למ			C Z	76	NO.	%	ON	%	No.	%	SQ.	Q
	No	%			4	100									
	7	%6	23 20%	;	!	39	13%	22	<b>&amp;</b>	9	%!!	ŧ	,	42	%6
0 .	2 2	ς %		<u> </u>	:	,	21%	78	27%	57	34%	_	20%	135	30%
11 - 20		7 6		;		89	23%	29	20%	20	1 2%	ı	ı	79	17%
21 - 30	<u> </u>	۔ و <b>/ ر</b>					79%	r	ĵ.	7	ď	_	20%	る	20%
31 - 40	39	21%	13 11%	!	!	76	° /	`	9 <b>/</b> 7	2	2			90.	/oč c
Not Fmploved	4	22%	32 27%	1	!	73	24%	5	1%	25	35%	•		0	%67
	2	3%	1 1%	!	1	9	7%	7	%	~	7%		,	Ŋ	%
	184 1	100%	117 100%	!	;	301	%001	289	%01	170	100%	7	100%	194	%001
				_			1								

TABLE 7

# HOURS EMPLOYED GRADE POINT AVERAGE

					WEST	<b>WESTERN CAMPUS</b>	CAMP	US						METRO	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN C.	AMPUS			
				9	rade	Poin	t Av	Grade Point Average						Grade	Grade, Point Average	It Av.	erage			
Hours	Below 1.0 No. %	0.1	1.0-1.9 No. %		2.0-2.9 No. %		3.0- No.	3.0-4.0 No. %	No.	No Reply	Belo No.	Below 1.00		00-1.9		0-2.9	3.0 No.0	2.0-2.9 3.0-4.0	L	No Reply
01 - 1	:	;	5 1	-	18	╂		I _	:	:	1	'	2	1 3	28		1	1 -	1	'
11 - 20	!	!	9	21%	38 2	21%	16	21%	1	!	;	;		27%	66	32%	2	21%	!	!
21 - 30	:	!	13 31%		45	26%	∞		7	100%	_	33%	<u>~</u>	31%	47	16%		13%	-	-
31 - 40	i !	;	7 17%		35 1		0	13%	;	1	~	33%	15	27%	62	21%	<u>†</u>	14%	7	%19
Not Employed	<u>;</u>	;	8 19%		40 2	22%	25	32%	;	;	-	33%	~	%	9	20%	39	38%	_	33%
No Reply	;	;	1		4	7%	7	3%	1	1	!	;		2%		%	7	2%		;
Total	;	!	42 100%, 180		80 10	. %001	77 100%	<b>%00</b>	7	100%	~	100%	96	100%	298	%00L	101/2001	100%		3 100%



TABLE 8

TRAVEL TIME TO AND FROM CAMPUS
BY CAMPUS

			WEST	TERN (	CAMPU	IS				М	ETRO	POLI	TAN (	CAMPU:	S	
	Ma	le	Fema	le	No	Reply	A11 5	Stud.	Ma	le	Fema	ale ]	No	Reply	A11 :	Stud.
Time	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%_	No	. %	No.	%
ss than 30 minutes	113	61%	70	60%			183	61%	68	24%	23	14%			91	20%
minutes to 1 hour	60	33%	37	32%			97	32%	166	57%	88	52%	2	100%	256	<b>55</b> %
hour to $l\frac{1}{2}$ hours	9	5%	9	7%			18	6%	49	17%	40	24%			89	19%
ore than $l^{\frac{1}{2}}$ hours	2	1%	0				2	1%	6	2%	19	10%			25	6%
Reply			1	1%			1									
otal	184	100%	117	100%			301	100%	289	100%	170	100	% 2	100%	461	100%



TABLE 9

TRAVEL TIME TO AND FROM CAMPUS BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

					WES	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMP	JS					<b>E</b>	ETROP	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	CAMP	US		
- <b>1</b> -					Grade	Grade Point Average	t AV	erage					9	rade	Grade Point Average	Avera	96		
•	100		0	6.1-0.		2.9	3.0-4.0	1.0	S R	No Reply	Belov	Below 1.00	1.00		2.0-	<u>ئ</u> س	3.0-4.0		e
Time	No.	. %	Š.	%			%	-	No.	%	No	%	<u>چ</u>	%	No. %	-+	% .o	Š	%
Less than 30 min	}	:	23	55%	8 -	%99	04	25%	2	3001	_	33%	თ	<b>%9</b> 1	16% 63 21%		%91 91	2	2 67%
30 min - 1 hr.	!	-	15	36%	20	28%	32	45%	ŧ	!	7	%19	39	%02	70% 1154 55%		21 50%		!
hour   12 hrs	,	:	~~ <u>~</u>	7%	=		4	2%	:	!	i	!	9	%11%	59 20%		23 23%	_	33%
han	!	!		2%	!	;	_	%	!	!	:	;	2	3%	12 4%	<del>-</del> %	%11	<u> </u>	;
No Reply	;	;	<u></u>	!	_	-%1	:	i	!	;	:	!	!	!	:		:		!
Total	!	:	42	100%	180	100%   180 100%   77 100%	77	%00	7	100%	m	3 100%	26	%001	100% 298 100%	)       	101 100%		3 100%



TABLE 10

ERIC Trull first Provided by ERIC

PLANS AFTER LEAVING CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE BY CAMPUS

					LAMA	U					×	TROPOI	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	SAMPUS		
		•	3	ESIERN	WESTERN CAMPUS						6207	,	NO DE	۸۱۷۹	AllS	All Students
	Male		Female	е	No Reply	) Vld	AIIS	All Students	Male		שבו שבו שבו			- ò		/0
	No.	%	9	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	٠ <u>٠</u>	%	No.	%	NO.	Q
r ans			1					, ,	-	- \o	7.7	) <u>/</u>	<b>-</b>	<b>2</b> 0%	192	75%
Full-Time Bacc.	116	<b>62</b> %	27	<b>78%</b>	!	1	173	27%	7+7	%n¢	0	9/7	-	? }	}	2
	30	01%	23	20%	:	!	62	21%	95	33%	71	797	_	20%	137	30%
Work and Bacc.	66	٥ •	3	? )				_			•				ç	/oC C
Work Full-Time	12	%	29	25%	1	i	14	14%	33	%:	09	35%	i	!	ر در	%0 <b>7</b>
			•					) }	י	۲%	17	10%	;	!	32	%
Other	91	8	9	2%	;	!	77	<b>%</b>	2	°	-	20				
	-	10/	,	<b>%</b>	!	!	ķ	%1	7	%1	m	2%	1	!	7	%1
No Reply	_	<u>e</u>	1	۰ ۷				!								
e to T	184	100%	117 100%	<b>100%</b>	1	ŀ	301	100%	289	<b>%001</b>	170	170 100%	7	%00 I	- 0 1 1	%001

PLANS AFTER LEAVING CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE BY DEGREE

Plans	Associa No.	ite in Arts	Associat No.	te in Science %	No R	eply %
Full-Time Baccalaureate Degree	159	65%	67	25%	139	57%
Work and Obtain Baccalaureate	56	23%	87	<b>32</b> %	56	23%
Work Full-Time	14	5%	98	36%	22	9%
0 ther	15	<b>6</b> %	17	<b>6</b> %	22	9%
No Reply	1	1%	4	1%	5	2%
Total	245	100%	273	100%	244	100%



TABLE 12
PLANS TO RETURN IN FALL
BY CAMPUS

			Μ	WESTERN	CAMPUS						MET	ROPOLT	TAN CAMPITS			
•	Male	]e	Fem	Female	No R	No Reply	All Student	udents	Male	l e	Fem	emale	No Reply	1	All Students	Johnto
Answer	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	i .	%	No.	%	<u>8</u>	%	No.	}_	No.	%
Yes	119	%59	5/	%+9		;	194	%59	209	72%	105	62%	2	100%	316	%69
NO O	09	33%	04	34%	!!	!	100	33%	77	27%	59	35%	;	-	136	29%
No reply	72	7%	2	7%	i	i	7	%;	~	%	9	%	;	-	σ	2 %
Total	184	<b>100%</b>	117	%001	;	;	÷0.5	100%	289	100%	170	170 100%	2	100%	461	2

TABLE 13

PLANS TO RETURN IN FALL BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

Answer         No. et al.         1.0-1.9         2.0-2.9         3.0-4.0         No. et al.         No. et al.					*	ESTERN	WESTERN CAMPUS	S						1ETROF	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	IN CA	MPUS			
Below 1.0         1.0-1.9         2.0-2.9         3.0-4.0         No Reply No. %         Below 1.00             36 86% 115 64% 42 55% 1 50% 2 67%             6 14% 59 33% 34 44% 1 50% 1 50% 1 33%           y           6 3% 1 1%              +2 100% 180 100% 77 100% 2 100% 3 100%         2 100% 3 100%					Gra	de Poi	nt Ave	rage					)	rade	Point	Ave	rage			
N5.       %       No.       % <th< th=""><th></th><th>Be low</th><th>0.</th><th>1.0-1.</th><th>9 2.0</th><th>0-2.9</th><th>3.0-4</th><th>v O</th><th>o Rep</th><th>ρlγ</th><th>Below</th><th>1.00</th><th></th><th>9-1-0</th><th>2.0-</th><th>2.9</th><th>3.0-</th><th>0.4-</th><th>S</th><th>lep 1 y</th></th<>		Be low	0.	1.0-1.	9 2.0	0-2.9	3.0-4	v O	o Rep	ρlγ	Below	1.00		9-1-0	2.0-	2.9	3.0-	0.4-	S	lep 1 y
<td< th=""><th>Answer</th><th>NO.</th><th>%</th><th>No. %</th><th>₽</th><th>%</th><th>No.</th><th>ž %</th><th></th><th>%</th><th>No.</th><th></th><th>N O</th><th>%</th><th>8</th><th>%</th><th>No.</th><th>%</th><th>No.</th><th>%</th></td<>	Answer	NO.	%	No. %	₽	%	No.	ž %		%	No.		N O	%	8	%	No.	%	No.	%
6         14%         59         33%         34         44%         1         50%         1         33%         8         14%         88         30%         37         36%         2           reply               2         4%         3         1%         4         4%            tall          42         100%         180         100%         77         100%         2         100%         56         100%         298         100%         101         100%         3	Yes	!	i	36 86%		<b>%</b> 19	42 55	<del></del>	_	20%	2	%29	9†	82%	207	%69	09	%09	_	33%
reply 6 3% 1 1% 2 4% 3 1% 4 4% 131 100% 180 100% 77 100% 2 100% 3 100% 56 100% 298 100% 101 100%	o <sub>N</sub>	;	!	%†1 9		33%	34 44			20%	_	33%	<b>∞</b>	14%	88	30%	37	36%	7	%29
tal 42 100% 180 100% 77 100% 2 100% 3 100% 56 100% 298 100% 101 100%		;	!	;	9		_			!	;	;	7	1%	~	%	4	<b>%</b> †	;	;
	Total	!	!	42 100%	180	100%	77 10		2	<b> </b> %00	~	% <b>0</b> 01	26	%001	298	%001	101	3001	m	3 100%



TABLE 14

STATED PLANS OF STUDENTS NOT RETURNING IN THE FALL BY CAMPUS

	West No.	tern %	Metropo No.	olitan %	Tot No.	:al %
Entering a Four-Year College	62	58%	67	49%	129	52%
Graduated	26	24%	51	37%	77	32%
Enlisted in Military Service	4	4%	10	<b>7</b> %	14	6%
Work Full-Time	6	6%	4	<b>3</b> %	10	4%
Undecided	8	<b>7</b> %			8	3%
Moving	1	1%	3	2%	4	2%
Personal			2	<b>2</b> %	2	1%
Total	107	100%	137	100%	244	100%



# Attitudes Regarding Peers

- 1. Approximately 30 percent of the sample on both campuses indicated that there was a moderate amount of college spirit or feeling of common goals while a similar percentage indicated that there was practically none. An additional 41 percent on both campuses indicated that there was possibly some.
- 2. Over 90 percent of the Western Campus and over 70 percent of the Metropolitan Campus students observed a willingness of other students to associate with persons of different race, creed and color.
- 3. When analyzed by grade point average, 75 percent or more of the students on both campuses with 3.0 to 4.0 grade point averages indicated that the students were very willing or somewhat willing to associate with persons of different race, creed and color.



TABLE 15

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

	:	5	CAMPUS	B Y		י י י י
GOALS	COMMON	9	FEEL ING	OR	SPIRIT	COLLEGE

			WE	STERN	WESTERN CAMPUS						METR	OPOLIT	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	SUS		
	Male	- О	Female	<u> </u>	No Re	1/10	No Reply! All Student	idents	Male	е 	Female	<u>е</u>	No Reply	٨١d٤	All Students	udents
chool Spirit	No.	%	No.	%	No.	2%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	//	No.	54
trong school spirit	7	/ †1	~	37	:	!	0	3/	ص ص	3%	4	27	:	1	13	m N
oderate school spirit	Ĺħ	797	31	27%	;		78	26%	99	23%	55	337	7	7001	123	27 /
ossibly some school	69	37%	54	45%	; 1	:	123	714	124	43%	<b>29</b>	39%	:	!	161	41/
ractically none	09	33%	59	25%	;	; !	<del>ار</del>	30%	68	31%	745	25%	;	:	131	28/
lo Reply		;	1	i	:	î	<b>9</b> -	e C		!	7	2	:	:	m	17
otal	184	100%	117	100%	1	!	301	100%	289	100%	170	7001	7	100%	461	100/

TABLE 16

COLLEGE SPIRIT OR FEELING OF COMMON GOALS BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

			WESTERN CAMPUS	:AMPUS				AE.	TROPOLI	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	IS	
	Returning	ing	Non-Returnin	urning			Retui	Returning	Non-Re	Non-Returning		
	Students	ıts	Students		S S	No Reply	Students	ents	Students		<u>8</u> :	No Reply
School Spirit	No.	%	S S	%	٠ چ	%	2	%	٠ ٧	%	<u>د</u>	%
Strong School Spirit	Ŋ	3%	4	<b>%</b> †	-	13%	σ	3%	4	3%	!	1
Moderate School Spirit	5	792	25	25%	7	75%	48	797	37	27%	7	22%
Possibly Some School Spirit	68	<b>45%</b>	32	32%	7	29%	136	43%	15	38%	4	<b>7</b> 2%
Practically None	784	25%	39	39%	7	25%	85	27%	43	31%	M	33%
No Reply	_	%1	:	1	!	1	7	%1		%!	;	;
Total	%001 761	<b>%00</b>	100	3001	^	%001	316	100%	136	001	σ	100%



TABLE 17

ERIC Fronted by ERIC

WILLINGNESS OF OTHER STUDENTS TO ASSOCIATE WITH PERSONS OF DIFFERENT RACE, CREED, AND COLOR BY CAMPUS

•			31.1	STEEDAL CAMPILE	CAMPIIA					•	METF	(OPOLI)	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	3		
		1	ž X	C LENIX		7.7	All Studen	Idents	Male	0	Female	ı le	No Reply	th l d	All Students	dents
	Ma le	อ	remale	9	NO NEDIA						C 4	<u> </u>	ON.	/.	No.	<u>~</u>
	NO.	7,	No.	%	8	%	No.	γ,	<u>ي</u>	~   	No.		2	+		
	99	367	59	50%	:	;	125	7.24	63	22%	95	327		207	120	797
	0	· α	17	7 07	i	;	135	45%	140	48%	87	517	_	20%	228	/64
Somewhat willing	0	) 0 1	ř	e i				7 61.	73	0000	23	14.7	;	;	86	161
Fairly unwilling	54	13%	<u>თ</u>	‰ ∞	;	;	25	့ -	<b>C</b>	٤ ٢ ٢	]	-			,	
Verv unwilling	7	7%	7	2 %	:	i	4	1%	19	7%	m	2%	;	!	22	2,
	<b>4</b>	2%	!	;	;	!	4		4	1%	-	1%	!	!	ιν	17
	184	100%	117	100%	ŀ	!	301	/001	289	1001	170	1007	2	100%	461	/001

TABLE 18

WILLINGNESS OF OTHER STUDENTS TO ASSOCIATE WITH PERSONS OF DIFFERENT RACE, CREED AND COLOR BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

gness No. % illing	1.0-1.9 No. %	Grade 1							Σ	DE FORDE LA CARRON	とこして	となって	4PUS			
guilling	%3.0-1.9		Point	Grade Point Average	e				S	Grade Point Average	oint	Aver	age.			
lling t willing		2.0-2, No. %	% 9 3 N	3.0-4.0 No. %		No Reply No. %	Below No.	Below 1.00 No. %	- 00 N • 00	1.00-1.9 No. %	2.0-2.9 No. %	2.9	% 80.0	3.0-4.0 No. %	8 8 8 8	No Reply
t willing	がし	45% 77 4	43% 2	28 36%	_	20%	;	:	15 27%		75	25%	30	30%	;	:
	16 38%	9/	42% 4	42 55%		50%	7	//9	56	/9†	152	51/	45	/54	30	1007
Guilliwun Airlied	%41 9	23		7 2%	-	!	-	33%	0		53	18%	22	21%	1	;
Very unwilling	-	7	7%	2 3%	- <del>-</del> -	!	:	!	4	%/	91	2%	7	5%	1	;
No reply	1 2%	7	%	%1		!	ì	!	_	7%	7	%	7	2%	;	;
Total 4	42 100% 180 100%	180 10	2 / %0	77 100%	2	%001	m	3 100%	%001 95		298 100% 101 100%	%00	101	%001	~	100%



TABLE 19

WILLINGNESS OF OTHER STUDENTS TO ASSOCIATE WITH PERSONS OF DIFFERENT RACE, CREED, AND COLOR BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

	<b>\</b>	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMPUS				Ä	TRCPOLI	METRCPOLITAN CAMPUS	S	
	Returning	Non-Returni	turning			Returning	ning	Non-Re	Non-Returning		
•	Students	Students	à	<u>8</u>	No Reply	Students	nts %	Students		2 2	No Reply
Willingness	% .ov	٠ و	,%	Š	9	2	9	NO.	9	SO.	٤
Very Willing	82 42%	39	36%	4	27%	83	<b>79</b> %	35	797	7	22/
Somewhat Willing	85 43%	47	7%4	~	43%	163	52%	59	43%	9	%29
Fairly Unwilling	21 11%	12	1 2%	!	1	54	17%	3	23%	_	11%
Very Unwilling	3 2%	<del>-</del>	%1	;	1	7-	764	<b>∞</b>	%9	:	;
No Reply	3 2%	_	%1	;	t I	7	%1	8	2%	;	;
Total	%001 761	100	%001	7	%00l	316 100%	%001	136	100%	თ	%00 l



## Attitudes Regarding Instruction

- 1. Over three-fourths of the students on both campuses rated the instruction as excellent or good regardless of their grade point average or whether or not they planned to return to Cuyahoga Community College next fall.
- 2. Over one-third of the students on both campuses felt that almost all of their instructors knew them by their first name; over 20 percent felt that about one-half of their instructors knew them by their first name and about 24 percent felt that one-half or less of their instructors knew them by their first name.
- 3. Over 60 percent of the students on both campuses felt very successful or sometimes successful in their attempts to meet with professors during office hours.
- 4. The largest percentage of the sample on both campuses felt that they could reflect disagreeing attitudes in the classroom while the next largest percentage felt that they were allowed to disagree but within boundaries.
- 5. The largest percentage of students, over 60 percent, felt that almost all or several of their professors were successful in their attempts to challenge them to do their best work. The next largest percentage, approximately 30 felt that the professors were somewhat successful in challenging them to do their best work.
- 6. Over 50 percent of the students on both campuses felt that 50 to 100 percent of the professors could be rated as outstanding.



Approximately 20 percent of the students on both campuses felt that 25 to 49 percent of the instructors could be rated as outstanding. Twenty-one percent on the Metropolitan Campus and 14 percent of the students sampled on the Western Campus felt that 0 to 24 percent of the professors were outstanding.



TABLE 20 STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION BY CAMPUS

			W.	WESTERN CAMPUS	SAMPLIS						METR	OPOLIT	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	US		
	Ω	a	Female	le	No Reply	Ţ	All Students	udents	Male	е	Female	le	No Reply	yly	All Students	dents
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Excellent	50	27%	39	33%		:	89	30%	87	30%	79	38%	1	1	151	33%
600d	66	24%	57	%67	!	I I	156	21%	151	25%	83	%67	-	20%	235	21%
Fair	6	10%	15	13%	;	!	34	11%	30	11%	17	10%	-	20%	48	%01
Poor	<u>ა</u>	2%	72	<b>7</b> %	;	1	14	2%	15	2%	7	2%	;	;	9	<b>%</b> †
No reply	7	%1	-	%1	;	i,	∞	<b>%</b>	9	%2	7	%1	;	!	∞	%2
Total	186	100%	117	100%	ł	!	301	100%	289	100%	170	100%	2	100%	194	100%
	-															



TABLE 21

STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

Evaluation No.  Excellent	0.6			MES	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMP	72					Σ	ETROP	011	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	MPUS			
	į.		ِی	rade	Poin	t Ave	Grade Point Average				-	9	Grade Point Average	Poin	t Ave	rage	1		
	?	1.0-1.9 No. %	<b>-</b>	2.0-2.9 No. %		3.0-4.0 No. %		No Repl	ep!y %	Below No.	Below 1.00 No. %	No.00	1.00-1.9 No. %	2.0-	2.9	3.0- No.	3.0-4.0 No. %	No.	No Reply No. %
	:	=	792	£	27%	28	36%	_	20%	_	33%	13	23%	26	33%	38	38%	2	%19
poog	;	20	48%   100		26%	36	72.4	;	:	-	33%	25	156   156	156	52%	52	51%	_	33%
Fair	-	∞	361	8	J 0%	œ	 %0।	;	;	;	;	12	21%	3	%01	ς.	2%	;	!
Poor	!	2	2%	9	2%	~	<b>7%</b>	;	<u> </u>	_	33%	~	2%	10	3%	ς.	2%	;	!
No reply	!	-	2%	4	7%	7	3%	_	20%	!	!	~	2%	7	-%-		%1	;	-
Total	;	42	42 100% 180 100%	180	%00	77 100%	%00	7	100%	~	3 100%	95	%001	298	100% 298 100% 101 100%	101	%00 l	~	100%



TABLE 22

STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

		WESTERN CAMPUS	US			ME	TROPOLI	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	S	
	Returning	Non-Returnin	ing		Returning	ning	Non-Re	Non-Returning		
	Students	Students	No Reply	eply	Students	nts	Students	ıts	2	(ep)
Evaluation	% .ov	No. %		%	No.	%	No.	%	Š.	% .oN
Excellent	47 24%	%17 17		1	92	29%	26	%17	!	;
poog	107 55%	75 45%	<b>-</b> %	14%	171	24%	9	% <del>†</del> †	m	33%
Fair	23 12%	%01 01	7 %	28%	32	1.1%	14	10%	7	%††1
Poor	12 6%	%1 1		%†1	14	<b>%</b> †	5	<b>%</b> †	- 7	23%
No Reply	5 3%	3 3%	-	%;;!	7	7%	-	%1	;	;
Total	%001 <del>76</del> 1	%001 001	7	%001	316 100%	%00	136	100%	თ	%001



TABLE 23

ERIC Fruit Best Provided by ERIC

INSTRUCTORS KNOW STUDENTS BY FIRST NAME BY CAMPUS

			W	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMPUS						MET	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN CAM	PUS		
	Male	Je	Female	a le	No Reply	ply	All Students	udents	Male	le	Fem	Female	No R	No Reply	All Students	udents
Amount	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Almost all	69	38%	745	36%	!	i	111	37%	85	29%	62	%17	!	!	155	34%
More than half	43	23%	32	27%	;	!	75	25%	9	22%	33	19%	!	:	98	21%
Less than half	39	21%	31	798	!	!	70	23%	77	27%	39	23%	_	<b>5</b> 0%	117	25%
Almost none	29	<b>%9</b> 1	12	10%	;	!	14	14%	09	21%	27	16%		50%	88	19%
No reply	7	2%	!	:	:	;	7	1%	2	- %1	<b></b> -	%	!	1	m	%1
Total	184	100%	1117	%001 /11	;	!	301	100%	289	100%	170	100%	7	100%	461	%00 <b>!</b>
						_										

TABLE 24

INSTRUCTORS KNOW STUDENTS BY FIRST NAME BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WES	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMPU								METRO	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	N CA	4PUS			
				Grade	Grade Point Average	Ave	age							Grade	Grade Point Average	Aver	age			
-	Belo	Below 1.0	1.0-1.9	6.	2.0-2.9	6.5	3.0-4.0	0.+	No Rep	Reply	Below	Below 1.0	1.0-1.9	1.9	2.0-2.9	6	3.0-4.0	4.0	No R	No Reply
Number	<u>و</u>	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.		No.		No.	%	No.	`
Almost All	!	!	15	15 36%	09	93%	36	36 47%	!			33%	13	23%	98	33%	41	711 71%	2	%19
More Than Half	!	!	7	1 1/2	47	792	20	792		20%	;	!	9	11%	75	25%	17	1 2%	;	;
Less Than Half	¦ 	!	13	31%	41	23%	15	- % 6 I		20%	;	:	23	<b>%!</b> †	70	24%	23	22%		33%
Almost None	!	!	9	14%	29	%91	9	<b>%</b>	!	!	2	%19	14	25%	52	17%	20	20%	;	<b>;</b>
No Reply	!	!	_	7%	~	2%	;		;	!	;	-	!	-	~	%1	1	   	;	!
Total	-		42	42 100%	180 100%	%00	77 100%	%00	7	100%	~	100%	56	3001	<b>56</b> 100% 298 100%		101	%001 101	~	100%
																1		1		

TABLE 25

ERIC Pruit Bast Provided by ERIC

SUCCESS IN MEETING WITH PROFESSORS
DURING OFFICE HOURS
BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	1 CAMP	US					MFT	METROPOLITAN CAMPILE	TONC	SHIDHV		
( )	Ma	Male	Female	<u>е</u>	No Reply	cply	All	Students	Ma	е	Female	9	No Reply	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	All	Students
saccess	S N	, /	No.	/	No.		No.		No.		No.		S N		- [	
												T				
Very Successful	67	36/	141	35/	;	!	108	36/	87	30%	92	/94		20/	164	36/
Sometimes Successful	63	34%	47	7.07	t I	i i	0   1	37%.	93	32%	09	35%	<u></u>	!	153	33/
Seldom Successful	17	/6	<u>.</u>	1	! !	!	30	107	77	%	Ξ	67.		20%	36	8
Never Successful	7	2/	7	2.7	1	!	9	2/	7	2:/	7	17	;	,	თ	2/
No Attempt to Meet Professor	32	781	2	1	;	!	45	/†!	7.1	25/	7	12/	:	;	. 25	707
No Reply	_	_	_	%	;	I I	7	<u>~</u>	7	7.7	;	1	;	:	7	<u>`</u>
Total	184	/001	1117	100%	!	;	301	100%	289	/001	170	100%	7	/001	194	/001
								-								

Đ

TABLE 26

SUCCESS IN MEETING WITH PROFESSORS DURING OFFICE HOURS BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WEST	WESTERN CAMPUS	: AMPU	S							METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	30L1T	AN CA	MPUS			
			ق	Grade	Point Average	Ave	rage							Grade Point Average	Poin	t Ave	rage			
	Beli	Below 1.0	0.	16:1-0:	2.0-	2.0-2.9	3.0-4.0	0.4	2	No Reply	Belo	Below 1.0	0.		2.0-2.9	į	13.0-4.0	l	2	No Reply
Success	8	%	<u>8</u>	%	8	×	2	<b>%</b>	<u>۶</u>	%	운	%	<u>ي</u>	%	No.	2	٠ ا	~	ટ્ટ	%
Very Successful	1	1	0-	24%	<del>†</del> ,9	36%	34	%††	!	:	1	:	9	7.11	112	38%	45	744	_	33%
Sometimes Success- ful	!	;	78	47%	63	35%	56	34%	<b>-</b>	20%	1	1	56	794	97	32%	29	767	_	33%
Seldom Successful	1		_	17%	20	%I -	m	%†7	1	1	!	!	<b>∞</b>	14%	23	%	4	7%+1	-	33%
Never Successful	ŧ 1	1	:	!	2	3%		~~	1	!	;	į į	!	:	9	2%	<u>~</u>	3%	t	į.
No Attempt to Meet Professors	-	1	<u>~~~~</u>	12%	56	14%	<u></u>	17%	_	20%	m	7,001	15	27%	57	19%	17	17.7	ı	;
No Reply	i	!	!	!	8	%1	1	!		!	i	!		2%	~	%!	m	3%	1	:
Total	;	;	745	42 100% 180 100%	180	%00	77 100%	%00	7	%001	m	%001	26	26 100%	298	298 100% 101 100%	<u>[</u>	100%	~	%66
				_		_				-		•								



TABLE 27

SUCCESS IN MEETING WITH PROFESSORS DURING OFFICE HOURS BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

r		WESTERN CAMPUS	AMPUS				E	TROPOL	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	JS	
	רט	Non-Returning	urning			Retui	Returning	Non-R	Non-Returning		
	Students	Students	v:	<u>8</u>	No Reply	Students	ents	Students	nts	S.	No Reply
Success	% .	N	%	8	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	\*  *
Very Successful	58 30%	94	794	<i>=</i>	1/25	107	347	75	/0†	~	337
Sometimes Successful	72 35%	36	36%	2	767	104	33%	<b>7</b> †	33/	2	/95
Seldom Successful	25 13%	'n	2%	!	!	25	%	=	% %	!	;
Never Successful	4 2%	7	2%	;	1	5	2%	77	3%	1	;
No Attempt to Meet Professors	34 18%	0	10%.	_	~~ %†!	70	21%	21	15%	_	11%
No Reply	%1	_	%	i	!	ľ	2%	2	%	! !	;
Total	%001 761	100	7001	<b>!</b> ~	3001	316 100%	%001	136	%001	<u>σ</u>	100%
			+								

TABLE 28

STUDENTS' OPPORTUNITY TO DISAGREE WITH PROFESSORS BY CAMPUS

			A	WESTERN CAMPUS	N CAS	4PUS					METR	OPOLT	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	MPUS		
Opportuni ty	Male No.	%	Female No. %	% %	S S	No Reply	A S	Students %	Ma le	8	Female	e %	NO NO	No Reply	ATTS	All Students
Encouraged to Reflect Disagree- ment	T.	%15 001	56	26 48%		i	156	52%		1 4	· I	39%	-	20%	184	%04
Frequently Disagree	~~~	17%	<u>0</u>	<b>%9</b> 1		:	20	17%	48	12%	<u>~</u>	%11	;	:	99	14%
Allowed to Disagree But Within Boun- daries	75	23%	36	31%	:	<u> </u>	78	79%	66	34%	72	45%	-	20%	172	37%
Penalized For Dis- agreement	4	7%	~	%†	:	;	σ	3%	9	<b>%9</b>	Ξ	<b>%9</b>	;	1	27	%9
No Reply	7	%4	_	%	1	1	∞	<b>2</b> %	თ	3%	٣	2%	;	;	12	3%
Total	184	184 103%	%001 211	%00 l	:	;	301 108%	%001	289	<b>%001</b>	170	%001 021	7	%001	194	%001
		t				1										



TABLE 29

STUDENTS' OPPORTUNITY TO DISAGREE WITH PROFESSORS
BY DEGREE

Opportunity	Associat	te in Arts	Associat No.	e in Science %	No R	eply
		i				1 214
Encouraged to Reflect Disagreement	121	50%	115	42%	104	43%
Frequently Disagree	39	16%	37	13%	40	16%
Allowed to Disagree But With Boundaries	62	25%	106	39%.	82	34%
Penalized for Disagreement	13	5%.	13	5%	10	4%
No Reply	10	<b>4</b> %	2	17/	8	3%
Total	245	100%	273	100%.	244	100%



TABLE 30

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

STUDENTS' OPPORTUNITY TO DISAGREE WITH PROFESSORS BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WESTI	FRNC	WESTERN CAMPUS	2						Σ	ETROP	0.17	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	MPUS			
			້ອ	Grade Point Average	oint	Ave	rage		^				9	rade	Poin	Grade Point Average	rage			
	Belo	Below 1.0	0.	6-1-0	2.0-	2.0-2.9	3.0-4.0	4.0	No R	Reply	Belo	Below 1.0	0.	6.1-0.	2.0.	2.0-2.9	3.0-4.0	4.0	No Reply	ep l y
Opportunity	No.	%	No.	%	N	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	N N	%	No.	%	8	%	No.	8
Encouraged to Re- flect Disagreement	;	!	54	57%	95	53%	37	<b>78%</b>	! !	!	7	%19	23	%17	126	45%	<u>8</u>	31%	7	%29
Frequently Dis- agree	;	;	rv	12%	34		Ξ	15%	1	!	!	1	σ	12%	4	% <b>†</b> [	16	16%	8 1	ļ
Allowed to Disagree But Within Boun- daries	;	!	=	26%	39	22%	27	35%	<b>-</b>	20%	_	33%	8	32%	106	36%	47	%94	;	:
Penalized for Disagreement	İ	!	8	2%	9	3%	-	%!	;	!	!	!	<b>m</b>	2%	6	%9	4	%47	-	33%
No Reply	;	!	;	!	9	3%		%1		20%	ł	!	m	2%	9	2%	m	3%	;	•
Total	;		42 1	42 100% 180 100%	180	%00	11	27 100%	7	, %001	m	%001	56	56 100% 298 100%	298	‰00 l	101	101 100%	M	100%

TABLE 31

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

SUCCESS OF PROFESSORS IN CHALLENGING STUDENT TO DO BEST WORK BY CAMPUS

			3	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMP	US					Æ	TROP OL	ITAN	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS		
•	Male		Female	] e	No R	eply	All	No Reply All Students	Male		Female	<u>е</u>	S R	No Reply	Alls	All Students
889001	200	~	ON	%	No.	· %	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	હ	7.
Almost All Challenge Student	24	13%	20	17%		!	77	15%	34	12%	41	24%	1	i	75	167
Several Are Successful	76	21%	57	764	;	!	151	20%	128	7,44	79	%9†	ł	-	207	<b>75</b> 4
Somewhat Successful	57	31%	36	30%	;	;	93	31%	901	36%	42	25%	-	20%	149	32%
Totally Unsuccessful	7	74%	_	%1	!	;	∞	3%	19	%	9	%47		20%	56	%9
No Reply	- 5	%1	8	3%	: !	;	5	%1	7	%1	7	%1	;	!	4	%1
Total	184	100%	1117	100%	!	;	301	%001	289	%001	170	100%	2	100%	194	%001
										1						

TABLE 32

SUCCESS OF PROFESSORS IN CHALLENGING STUDENT TO DO BEST WORK BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

1			3	WESTERN CAMPUS	N CAM	PUS							ž	TROP(	)L I TAN	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	SUS			
			5	Grade P	Point Average	Avera	ge						១	ade (	oint	<b>Grade Point Average</b>	age			
	Belo	Below 1.0	0.	0-1-9	2.0-2.9	2.9	3.0-4.0	_	No Repl	eply	Below 1	10.1 W	1.0	16.1-0.	2.0-	2.0-2.9	3.0	3.0-4.0	No R	No Reply
Success	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	N	%	2	%	9	%
Almost All Challenged Student	;	:	4	%01	22	1 2%	18	23%		<u> </u>	-	33%	4	22	52	1.2%	17	%/1 /1	-	33%
Several Are Successful	;	;	91	38%	95	53%	39	21%	_	20%	1	<u> </u>	9	34%	132	%74	55	24%	-	33%
Somewhat Success- ful	;	3	<u>8</u>	43%	35	32%	. 71	22%	-		;	:	24	43%	97	33%	27	27%	-	33%
Totally Unsuccess- ful	;	;	~	%/	~	2%	-	%	_	20%	7	92.9	œ	%†	15	2%	_	%	;	;
No Reply	;	- <u>-</u> -	-	2%	7	%1	7	3%	;		;	!	-	2%	7	%1	_	%1	;	:
Total	;	!	42 1	100%	180 100%	%00	%001 //	<b>%00</b>	2	<b>%001</b>	~	3001	26	%001	298	<b>300</b> 1	[0]	%00 l	m	% <b>0</b> 01



TABLE 33

SUCCESS OF PROFESSORS IN CHALLENGING STUDENT TO DO BEST WORK BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

			WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMPUS				¥	TROPOLI	METROPOLITAN CAMPIIS	5	
	Returning	ning	Non-Re	Non-Returning			Returning	ning	Non-Re	Non-Returning	,	
Success	Students No. %	nts %	Students No.	ts %	No Reply No. %	۲۵ *هام	Students No. %	nts %	Students No.	ts %	2 2	No Reply
Almost All Challenged Student	26	26 13%	18	%81	-	;	54	24 17%	18	13%	~	33%
Several Are Successful		92 47%	75	24%	5 7	71%	131	:+2%	75	25%		11%
Somewhat Successful	65	34%	56	797	2 2	767	109	34%	35	79%		26%
Fotally Unsuccessful	7	%47		%	; ;		20	%9	9	%†	:	;
No Reply	7	2%	-	%	!		7	%1	7	2%	!	;
Total	194 100%	%00	100	100%	7 100%	%0	316 100%	%00	136	100%	თ	100%

TABLE 34

STUDENT OPINION OF PERCENT OF OUTSTANDING PROFESSORS BY CAMPUS

				WEST	WESTERN CAMPUS	MPUS					METI	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN CA	MPUS		
	a lex	٥	Female	e -	No	No Reply	All S	All Students	Male	- e	Female	e	No R	No Reply	All	All Students
1000	No.	%	No.	%	No.	`%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
75% or More	36 19%	19%	23	20%	:	;	59	%07	43	15%	14	24%	i	;	48	%8 I
20% - 74%	19	33%	47	%0 <del>1</del>	1		108	36%	103	36%	20	30%	i i	i	153	34%
25% - 49%	47	797	23	70%	!		0/	23%	58	20%	35	20%		%05	76	20%
0 - 24%	27	15%	91	14%	;		.43	%†!	9	22%	32	1%		20%	86	21%
No Reply	13	7%	∞	3%	!		21	1%	20	1%	12	1//	;	i	32	1%
Total	184	%00l	184 100% 1117	100%	;	:	301	<b>%001</b>	289	100%	170	100%	7	100%	194	%001
		-		_		***				-		1				



TABLE 35

STUDENT OPINION OF PERCENT OF OUTSTANDING PROFESSORS BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WEST	ERN (	WESTERN CAMPUS	S							1ETRO	POL I	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	AMPUS			
			Gr	ade P	oint	Grade Point Average	ad <b>e</b>							rade	Foir	Grade Point Average	erage			
	Belo	Below 1.0	1.0	16.1-0	2.0-2.9	-2.9	3.0-4.0		8	No Reply	Below	w 1.0	1.0	1.9	1.0-1.9 2.0-2.9	2.9	3.0.	3.0-4.0	No	No Reply
Percent		1/	No.	7			No.	2/	N	×	No.	\	No.	/	₽	. '	8	1	No.	\.
75% or More	-		5	12%	36	20%	18	23%	;	!	-	33%	∞	14%	14%   56	19%	19	%61	-	;
% <del>1</del> /2 - %05	!	!	17	%04	<del>1</del> 9	36%	56	35%	_	50%	;	!	13	23%   101	101	34%	36	35%	~	⅓001
75% - 45%	!	!	Ξ	26%	717	24%	15	1%	!		;		13	23% 57	57	19%	54	24%	;	i I
0 - 24%		1	7	17%	23	13%	13	17%		!	ļ		91	29%	9	22%	17	17%	1	;
No Reply		1	<u> </u>	2%	13	1/2	2	- %9	<b>-</b>	20%	2	%19	9	11%	6	%9	2	2%	!	;
Total	!	;	45	42 100%   180 100%	180		77 100%	%001	2	%001	~	/001	99	%00 L	298 100%	/001	%001 101	%00i	~	100%
	-																			

TABLE 36

STUDENT OPINION OF PERCENT OF OUTSTANDING PROFESSORS BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

		WESTERN CAMPUS		W	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	JS
	Returning	Non-Returning		Returning	Non-Returning	
T contract	Students No. %	Students No. %	No Reply No. %	Students No. %	Students No. %	No Keply
75% or More	34 18%	23 23%	2 29%	%91 15	32 24%	11%
20 - 74%	%ħ£ 89	38 38%	2 29%	102 32%	%98 64	2 23%
25 - 49%	50 26%	%61 61	1 13%	%17 99	24 18%	%77 7
0 -24%	27 14%	%91 91	;	76 24%	21 15%	1 11%
No Reply	15 8%	%1 1	2 29%	21 . 7%	10 7%	1 11%
Total	%001 <del>7</del> 61	100 100%	%001 2	316 100%	136 100%	%001 6



## Attitudes Regarding Counseling Services

- indicated that the availability of the college counselor was good or excellent for their purposes. Thirty-five percent of the students on the Western Campus and 30 percent on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that the availability of the counselor for their services was fair or poor.
- 12. Sixty-five percent of the sample on the Western Campus and 47 percent of the sample on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that the counselor's service was good or excellent in helping them explore interests and goals.
  - Approximately 80 percent of the students on both campuses indicated that they were very certain or fairly certain of their major field of study.



TABLE 37

AVAILABILITY OF COLLEGE COUNSELOR BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	RN C/	AMPUS					METF	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN C	AMPUS		
	Male	e	Female	a ! e	S S	Rep 1 y	ł	All Students	Male	e	Female	] e	8 -	Reply	AII	All Students
Availability	ò	7	<u>8</u>	7	S N	No. %	8	%	S S	34	8	25	Š	%	S O	%
Didn't Need Him	9	3/.	2	2%	!	:	∞	3%	8	<b>%</b>	29	17%	:	;	47	%0 I
Excellent	777	24%	37	32%	1	!	8	27%	9	21%	56	15%		20%	87	1 %
poog	63	35%	38	32%	!		101	33%	110	39%	29	39%	<del>-</del>	%05	178	39%
7 : er	45	24%	29	75%	;	!	74	25%	56	7%61	32	%61	!	!	88	19%
Poor	21	11%	10	<b>%</b>	;		3.	%O I	39	13%	171	%	;	:	53	11%
No Reply	~	3%	<b>-</b> -	%1	;	ŀ	9	7%	9	2%	8	%	-	!	∞	7%
Total	184	184 100%	117	100%	;	!	301	100%	289	289 100%	170	100%	7	%001	194	100%
	-					1										



TABLE 38

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

AVAILABILITY OF COLLEGE COUNSELOR BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WES	TERN	WESTERN CAMPUS	S							METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	,0L1T,	AN CA	MPUS			
				Grade	Poin	Point Average	rage							Grade	Poin	Point Average	rage			
	Be I	Below 1.0	-0.1	6.1.	2.0-2.9	2.9	3.0-4.0	4.0	No R	No Reply	Below	0	1-0-	- 6.1	2.0-2.9	2.91	3.0-4.0	4.01	No	No Reply
Availability	Š	%	ا	7,	2	%	2	25	2	%	No.	%	9	%	₽	%	<u>8</u>	%	8	7%
Didn't Need Him	;	<del></del>	7	%5	7	%!	4	2%	, !	;	_	33%	9	11%	30	%0 l	σ	%	~	33%
Exceilent		!	73	31%	42	23%	56	34%	!	!	ļ	;	0	<u>%</u>	52	1 1/2/	25	25%	!	!
Poog	!	!	=	797	89	38%	20	792	7	%001	-	33%	22	39%	123 4	43%	32	31%	ŀ	-
Fair	<u> </u>	1	=	797	45	25%	<u>∞</u>	23%	!	ŀ	-	33%	σ	1 6%	58		9	%	-	33%
Poor	!	!	٠.	12%	20	<u>%</u>	9	<b>%</b>	;	;	;	:	7	12%	3	ا0%	14	14%	-	33%
No Reply	<u> </u>	!	-	!	٣	2%	٣	%4	i	;	;	!	7	74%	4	1%	7	7%	!	i
Total	!	:	42	3001	180	%00 l	77 100%	%00	7	3001	m	3001	26	100% 298	)1 86;	100%	101 100%	%00	~	100%
				†		+		-		-		-		-1		-		_		

TABLE 39
COUNSELOR HELP IN EXPLORING INTERESTS AND GOALS
BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	RN CA	MPUS					ME	TROPOL	METROPOLITAN CAMPLIS	AMPLIS		
	Male	Ð	Female	le l	S R	No Reply	AII	All Students	Male	9	Female	e	NON	No Rep   V	0 110	All Students
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	N	%	9		2 2	<u> </u>	2	51 = 7: 
Didn't Use Service	15	~~ <b>&amp;</b>	9	2%	;	:	21	%_	37	13%	39	23%	:	:	9/	16%
Excellent	5	28%	94	39%	:	;	97	32%	57	20%	30	ا % ا	;	;	87	761
poog	62	34%	37	32%	1		66	33%	82	28%	77	792	2	3001	128	<b>78</b> %
Fair	40	21%	9	<b>16%</b>	1	;	59		6	21%	<b>5</b> 6	15%	;	;	87	%51
Poor	15	<b>%</b>	<b>∞</b>	%	1	;	23	<b>%</b>	67	1%	27	15%	;	;	9/	)  -  -
No Reply	_	%	<b>–</b>	%	:	;	7	%1	m	%!	4	3%	!	;	7	7%
Total	184	100%	117	100%	ł	;	301	100%	289	%001	170	%001	7	%00 l	197	%001
***************************************						-				_		_				



TABLE 40

COUNSELOR HELP IN EXPLORING INTERESTS AND GOALS BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

		WESTERN CAMPUS	AMPUS				ME	TROPOLI	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	S	
	Returning	Non-Returning	urning			Returning	ing	Non-Re	Non-Returning		
	Students	Students	S	No R	No Reply	Students	nts	Students	ts	8	No Reply
Evaluations	No. %	No.	%	ON	%	Š.	%	Š.	%	No.	%
Didn't Use Service	%9 11	<b>σ</b>	~~ %6	_	14%	20	%) I	25	) <b>%</b> 1		11%
Excellent	65 33./	30	30%	2	767	53	17%	32	24%	7	22%
poog	63 32%	33	33%	m	784	66	31%	27	20%	7	23%
Fair	37 19%	21	21%		%71	19	19%	25	.% %		11%
Poor	17 9%	9	<b>%9</b>	ة ا	!	20	<b>%9</b> 1	23	17%	m 	33%
No Reply	%1 1		%1	!	ļ	~	%	4	3%	¦ 	!
Total	%001 <del>761</del>	100	100%	7	100%	316	316 100%	136	100%	<u>თ</u>	%001



TABLE 41

CERTAINTY OF MAJOR FIELD BY CAMPUS

				WESTE	WESTERN CAMPUS	MPUS					Σ	ETROP(	LITAN	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	JS	
	Male	e	Female	le l	No R	No Reply	All S	Students	Male	0)	Female	]e	8	No Reply	AII	All Students
Certainty	NO.	%	ટ્ટ	%	8	%	2	%	<u>چ</u>	%	N	%	No.	%	S	%
Very Certain	7.1	39%	28	20%	;	;	129	%£ <sub>17</sub>	133	ù5%	102	%09	-	20%	236	%15
Fairly Certain	69	37%	32	27%	!	<del> </del>	101	34%	95	32%	47	27%	_	20%	140	30%
Somewhat Un- certain	25	%+1	<u>~</u>	%11	;	;	38	13%	45	15%	13	<b>%</b>	;	í	55	12%
Very Uncertain	<u> </u>	%	Ξ	%	:	;	28	%	19	1%	7	<b>1</b> % <b>1</b>	;	!	26	<b>%9</b>
No Reply	7	%	m	3%	;	!	5	%	8	%	_	%	!	!	7	%
Total	184	%00 i	117	%001	;	;	301	%001	289	289 100%	170	3001 021	7	100%	19:7	%001 19 <del>%</del>
				-     		-						, ,				



TABLE 42

CERTAINT OF MAJOR FIELD BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

			WE	WESTERN CAMPUS	V CAM	PUS							Σ	ETROP	0L1T/	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	MPUS			
			Grac	Grade Point Average	int A	verag	e	•					9	rade	Poin	Grade Point Average	rage			
	Below 1.0	0.	1.0-1.9		2.0-2.9		3.0-4.0	0.	No R	Reply	Belo	Below 1.0	1.0-1.9		2.0-2.9		3.0-1	3.0-4.0 No Reply	No R	eply
Certainty	No. %	%	No. %	$\dashv$	No. %		No.	%	N	%	No.	%	8	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Very Certain	¦		16 39%	<del>**</del>	75	45%	37 1	%8 <b>†</b>	-	20%	7	2/29	<u></u>	34% 154	154	21%	58	57%	~	%001
Fair!y Certain	:		17 4	704	62	34%	22	29%	;	!		33%	23	1%	9	31%	25 '	25 . 55%	!	!
Somewhat Uncertain	;	<del></del>	m	%	25	14%	9	12%	_	20%	;	;	10	-% 18%	33	11%	12	12%	!	!
Very Uncertain	!		5	15%	5	<b>%</b>	∞	301	1	!	;	ļ	~	2%	17	%9	9	%9	!	:
No Reply	:	!	_	2%	~	7%	<del></del>	%	ļ		;	i i	_	2%	~	%	!	!	1	!
Total	!		42 100%		180 100%	%00	77 100%	<b> </b> %00	2	3001	~	3001	99	3001	298	<b>56</b> 100%   <b>298</b> 100%   101 10 <b>0</b> %	101	%00	~	100%
		-		-		-		- !   		-		-								

## Attitudes Regarding the College and Policies of the College

- 1. Eighty-seven percent of the respondents on both campuses indicated that they felt that the rules at Cuyahoga Community

  College were logical and fair. A larger percentage of students in the 3.0 to 4.0 grade range rated the rules as fair and logical than did the students in the 1.0 to 1.9 grade point range. The reasons cited most frequently by the students stating that the rules were not fair are the unfair cut system, parking permits, vandalism, and no real knowledge of rules.
- 2. Sixty percent of the respondents from the Western Campus and 47 percent on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that the professional staff was often helpful or very helpful while approximately 35 percent on both campuses indicated that they did not seek help from the professional staff.
- 3. The vast majority of the respondents, 93 percent on the Western Campus and 86 percent on the Metropolitan Campus, indicated that the professional staff was always friendly and courteous or was friendly and courteous most of the time.
- 4. Over 80 percent of the students from both campuses indicated that they would attend Cuyahoga Community College again. The major reasons given by students who indicated that they would enter Cuyahoga Community College again were cost, location, good professors and good personal relations with the professors.



The major reasons given by students who indicated that they would not enter Cuyahoga Community College again were preference for a four-year college, the problems of transferring credits, and the lack of school spirit.

5. The reasons listed most often for the students greatest dissatisfaction were lack of school spirit and professors. Listed
most frequently as the students greatest satisfaction at
Cuyahoga Community College were the student-teacher relationship and good professors.



TABLE 43

RULES FAIR AND LOGICAL BY CAMPUS

	All Students	37%	÷ %	<b>%</b> †	100%
	All	401	43	17	194
MPIIC	No Reply	100%	!	-	3001
TAN CA	NO N	2	;	!	2
METROPOLITAN CAMPIIS	e %	91%	%9	3%	100%
METE	Female	154	Ξ	5	170
	%	85%	11%	<b>74%</b>	%001
	Male	245	32	12	289
	tudents %	87%	12%	%!	%001
	All Studen No. %	263	36	5	301
PUS	No Reply No.	+	1	1	1
WESTERN CAMPUS	No No.	1	1	ļ	1
WESTE	%	%06	12 10%	!	%001
	Female No.	%06 501	12	!	117 100%
	% •	%98 851	13%	%	184 100%
	Male No.	158	54	2	184
	Swer			ep l y	

TABLE 44

RULES - FAIR AND LOGICAL BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

WESTERN CAMPUS	Grade Point Average	.0-4.0   No Reply	81% 154 86% 73 95%	7 17% 25 14% 4 5%	-	42 100% 180 100% 77 100% 2 100%
		Below 1.0	3 100%	:	:	3 100%
METROPO	Grade P	1.0-1.9 No. /	%6L 44	9 16%	3 5%	26 100%
METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	Grade Point Average	2.0-2.9 No. 7	768 99%	24 8%	8 3%	298 100%
SIL	ge	3.0-4.0 No. %	%58 98 	%5 6 <u> </u>	%9 9	101 100%
		No Reply	2 67/	1 33%	; _;	3 100%



TABLE 45

RULES - FAIR AND LOGICAL B" RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

Returning         Non-R           Students         Stude           Stude         Stude           No.         No.           No.         87%           No.         23 12%           12	Non-Returning		Σ	METADIOLITAN CAMPON	20
Answer No. % 170 87% 23 12%			Returning	Non-Returning	
Answer No. % 170 87% 23 12%	Students	No Reply	Students	Students	No Reply
170 87%	% .ov	No. %	% .oN	% .oN	. No.
23 12%	87 87%	%98 9	279 88%	115 85%	1 13
	12 12%	1 4%	27 9%	15 11%	7 78%
No Reply 1 1% 1	%1 1	1	10 3%	%1 9	711 1
Total 194 100% 100	%001 001	%001 /	316 100%	136 100%	%001 6



TABLE 46

REASONS GIVEN FOR STUDENTS STATING RULES NOT FAIR BY CAMPUS

	West	ern	Metrop	olitan	Tot	al
	No.	%;;	No.	%*:	No.	<u>%.*</u>
Cut System Unfair	16	28%	16	38%	32	<b>32</b> %
Parking Permits	17	29%			17	17%
Vandalism	16	27%			16	16%.
No Real Knowledge of Rules			10	24%	10	10%
Negros Have Too Much Voice			5	12%	5	5%
Registration Not Fair	2	4%	3	7%	5	5%
No Smoking in Classrooms			4	9%	4	4%,
Traffic Laws	3	4%			3	3%.
Rules Not Made By Students			2	5%	2	2%.
Student Code of Conduct			2	<b>5</b> %	2	2%
No Alcoholic Beverages on Campus	2	4%			2	2%
Bookstore	2	4%,			2	2%
Total	58	100%	42	100%	100	100%.

<sup>\*</sup> Percent of Respondents to This Question.



TABLE 47

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

QUALITY OF HELP RENDERED BY PROFESSIONAL STAFF BY CAMPUS

				<b>VESTER</b>	WESTERN CAMPUS	US						ETROP	I TAN	METROPOLITANI CAMPILE		
	Male	a)	Female	_ 	No R	eply	All	Students	Male	٥	Female				1	
Opinions Opinions	9	%	No.	%	8	No. %	No.	No. %	0 2	 %	02	 >			- - C	All Students No 3
Didn't Seek Help	9	36%	6,	<b>28</b> %	;	:	98	33%	105	37%	99	%07	_	50%	172	37%
Very Helpful	52	28%	35	30%	;	:	87	762	59	20%	39	24%	;	1	86	21%
Often Helpful	20	27%	77	38%	;	!	76	31%	4/	792	77	27%	_	20%	6	26%
Very Little Help	17	<b>%</b>	7	3%	1	;	8	<b>%9</b>	38	13%	12	~~~	;	:	20	12%
No Help	7	%1	_	%1	;	;	m	%1	Ξ	74%	t	2%	;	!	15	7.4
Total	184	100%	117	%00 l	ł	1	301	3001	289	3001	170	100%	7	100%	461	: %001
										_						

TABLE 48

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

QUALITY OF HELP RENDERED BY PROFESSIONAL STAFF BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WESTERN CAMPUS	RN	AMPUS							MET	ROPO	LITAN	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	JS			
			3	ade P	oint	Grade Point Average	ge						Gra	de P	oint	7	ge			ł
	Relo	0 0 000	0	16.1-0	2.0-2.9	2.9	3.0-4.0	4.0	No Rep	ep ly	Below	0.1	1.0-1.9		``		3.0-4.0	 0 ; t	<u>2</u> :	No Reply
0 to H Ho 0 to Holo			No.		9	%	٠ 9	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.		S	<u>%</u>	<u>8</u>	× ×	2	7,
1 7		? !	L	<del> </del>	1	33%	23	30%	-	20%	7	<i>67%</i>	77	43%	106 36%	36%	38	37%	7	· %/9
_	;	!	10	24%	55	29%	25	32%	!	;	-	33%	12	21%	9	20%	54	<b>5</b> 4%	!	!
Often Helpful	!	!	13	31%	54	30%	56	34%	-	20%	!		10	18%	88	30%	21	21%	1	;
Verv Little Help	!	!	4	10%	Ξ	%	m	1%	;	!	;	!	σ	<b>16</b> %	3	10%	σ	85	_	33%
	;	!	_	2%	7	7	;	;	!	!	!	ŀ	-	2%	0	3%	4	7:4	!	:
No Reply	!	;	;	!	;	;	!	!	;	!	!	!	!	!	7	%	7	2%	;	;
Total	!	!	42 1	42 100%	180 100%	%00	77	77 100%	7	100%	<b>س</b>	100%	26	100%	26 100% 298 100%	<b>%001</b>	101	101 100%	m	1 0:0%
							1													

TABLE 49

ERIC Full field for ERIC

PROFESSIONAL STAFF'S COURTESY BY CAMPUS

No So	%	*	WENIERN CAMPON	くっと	ころした					٤	LIKOPO		MEIKUPULIIAN CAMPUS		
0	·/	Female	e	No	No Reply I	All Stude	tudents	Male	<b>6</b>	Female	_ e	8 8	No Reply	, 	All students
<del>-</del> &		0 2	%	9	<u> </u>	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	S.	%
œ	%11		%8 <sup>+</sup> 1		!	137	<b>%9</b> †	93	32%	80	%24	:		173	38%
	 %8†	23 7	<b>745%</b>	1		141	7.4	147	<b>5.</b> 1.2%	74	%71	7	100%	223	<b>%8</b> <sup>†</sup>
Tolerant Attitude   12 6	%9	7	%9	;	l l	6	<b>%9</b>	141	%71	თ	2%	!	!	20	11%
Discourteous	%	;	:	;	   		1		%1	5	3%	:	1	9	%1
No Reply 2	<u>%</u> :	_	%!	;	!	m 	%!	_	<b>5</b> %	2	%1	!	! i	σ	2%
Total   184 100	100%	117 100%	<b> </b> ‰0	!	!	301	100%	289	%00 I	170	100%	7	%00 l	194	%00 l

TABLE 50

ERIC Full Tract Provided by ENIC

PROFESSIONAL STAFF'S COURTESY BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				LIECTE	NO	LIECTEDNI CAMPIIS							ME	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	LITAN	CAM	SOc			
				ME SIE	2 1								S	Grade Point Average	oint	Aver	age			1
			ב	age		Grade Point Average	376	-		t	-	7	-	-  -	0	c	2 0-	2 0-4 01	No Reniv	> ( 0
	Below	Below 1.0	1.0-1.9	٥	2.0-2.9	2.9	3.0-4.0	4.0	No No	_	Below I.U	- -	  -  -		Z.0-Z.3	```		• *		<b>,</b> %
200	No.	%	Š.	%	No	%	No.	%	٠ و	%	No.	,«	ا ا و	9	Q	<b>1</b>		Q Q		۱
Friendly. Courteous	:	;	171	34%	83	%94	39	21%	_	20%	-	33%	14	25%	110 37%	37%	<b>i</b> 47	%947	_	33%
Always																				
Courteous Most of	!		19	45%	86 57%	57%	35	45%	-	%05	7	%/9	35	%29	142	142 47%	43	43 43%	<u>_</u>	33%
the Time															ı		•	1		
Tolerant Attitude	!	!	œ	%	0	2%	7	3%		:	!	<del> </del>	7	%	38	13%	9	~~ %		33%
2100+11000	1	!	;	!	_	%1	;			!	!	ŀ	_	7%	m	%1	7	7%	Į Į	;
							•	3			ı		-	٥٥/	Ľ	3%	~	3%	!	;
No Reply	:	!		7%	_	~ ~	_	%	!	1  -	<u> </u>	!	_	٧ <b>٦</b>	`	) S	`	3		
Total	!	;	42	%001 081 %001	180	%00	77	77 100%	7	1 00%	~	%001	56	100%	298	298 100%	101	%00 l	~	%001

TABLE 51

WOULD STUDENT ENROLL AT CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AGAIN BY CAMPUS

				JESTER	NCAM	PUS					METR	OP 0L 1	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	MPUS		
1	2		o eman	1	No	ep l v	All	Students	Male	6	Female	e	No Reply	eply	AII S	All Students
Answer	No.	 % ע	N 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	, %	9	<b>,</b> %	No.	% NO. %	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	157	157 85%	105 90%	%06		!	262	87%	242 84%	%48	142	% <del>1</del> 8	2	100%	386	%†8
N <sub>O</sub>	24	24 13%	=	%	-	!	35	12%	42	14%	54	%71	;	;	99	%†1
No Reply	<u>س</u>	2%	<b>-</b>	%1	;	i	7	%1	5	2%	4	2%	!	!	σ	7%
Total	184	%001 +81		117 100%	;	!	301	%001	289	289 100%	170	100%	7	100%	194	%001
												1		1		

TABLE 52

WOULD STUDENT ENROLL AT CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AGAIN BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				TOT	STEEDN CAMBILE	2110							×	ETROP(	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	CAMP	NS			
			<b>*</b>   <b>:</b>	4E3   E	Crade Doint Average	Avera	٩						9	rade	Grade Point Average	Avera	ge			
	-		5 -	- 0			200	1 0 7	NO	7 00	Relow	Relow 1.0	0	0-1-0	2.0-2.9	_	3.0-4.0		No Re	ep l y
1	Be o	Below 1.0	No. %	ر م	8.0-2.9 No. %	 	No. %	2 %	No. %	-   	S S	%	No.	%	No.		No.		No. %	[%]
Answer		2		,									9			à	7	ò	r	ò
Yes	!	;	%98 9 <b>£</b>	<u> </u>	154 85%	85%	%16 0/	%16	7	1 %001	7	%/9	38 68%	~~ % 9	252		%06 16	 %05	^	۷ <b>،00</b>
CN	<u></u>	!	5 12%	- 7%	23	23 13%	7	%	!	-		33%	17 30%	30%	41	14%	7	7%	!	1
)				2	•	č						-	_	%	ď	1%	~	3%	!	!
No Reply	!	:	_	<b>5</b> %	~	%7	;	!	<b>!</b> !	!	!		-	۰ ۱	`	<u> </u>	`	?		
Total	!	!	45 100%		180 100%	%00l	77	27 100%	2	%001	~	%001	26 100%	%00	<b>298</b> 100%		101 100%	%00L	3	100%
														-  				-		

TABLE 53

WOULD STUDENT ENROLL AT CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AGAIN BY RETURNING AND NON-RETURNING STUDENTS

			SIIDMO LAMPIIS	SIIMDI				Æ	TROPOLI	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	S	
		*	ESTERN				11111		MODE	Mon-Paturning		
	Returning	ور	Non-Returnin	turning	2	> 0	Students	nts	Students	ts	N ON	tep 1 y
1	Students No. %	ts %	Students No.	ر د	2 <u>0</u>	No. %	No.	%	No.	%	٠ گ	No. %
Answer	1	<u> </u>										
Yes	173 89%		48	%48	2	%1/	271	%98	109	<b>80%</b>	9_	<i>67</i> %
	701 61	<del></del>	14	14%	2	767	38	1 2%	56	19%	2	22%
	%1 6	2 8	^	2%	ı	;	7	2%		%!		%11
No Keply	<u>-</u> -		1 0	%- 100%	7	100%	316	316 100%	136	100%	م	100%
otai	6	e S	3									



TABLE 54

MAJOR REASON WHY STUDENT WOULD
ENTER CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AGAIN
BY CAMPUS

	Western	Metropolitan	Total	Percent
Cost	105	125	230	25%
Location	61	47	108	12%
Good Professors	33	60	93	9%
Good Personal Relations With Professors	38	54	92	<b>9</b> %
Received a Good Education	37	39	76	<b>8</b> %
Good Place to Start	48		48	5%
Pleasant Atmosphere	45	2	47	5%
Small Classes	28	13	41	4%
Good Courses	11	25	36	4%
Fine School		32	32	3%
Two Year College	6	16	22	2%
Good Quality of Students	8	10	18	2%
Opportunity to Meet People of All Races		13	13	1%
Nursing Program		12	12	1%
New Campus		12	12	1%
Law Enforcement Program		11	11	1%
Dental Hygiene Program		11	11	1%
0ther	27	36	_63	<u> 7%</u>
Total			955	100%

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  Percent of Respondents to This Question.



TABLE 55

MA!OR REASON WHY STUDENT
WOULD NOT ENTER CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AGAIN
BY CAMPUS

	Western	Metropolitan	Total	Percent*
Prefer Four-Year College	3	13	16	19%
Problems Transferring Credit	-	13	13	15%
Lack of School Spirit	5	6	11	13%
Poor School	-	9	9	11%
Counselors	2	5	7	<b>8</b> %
Lack of College Atmosphere	-	7	7	8%
High School Atmosphere	-	6	6	6%
Racial Problems	-	5	5	5%
Unfriendly	-	5	5	5%
Unchallenging Classes	3	-	3	4%
Poor Facilities	2	-	2	3%
Inefficient Courses	2	-	2_	3%
Tot <b>al</b>			86	100%



<sup>\*</sup> Percent of Respondents to This Question.

TABLE 56

GREATEST DISSATISFACTION W!TH CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE
BY CAMPUS

	Western	Metropolitan	Total	Percent:
Lack of School Spirit	53	41	94	18%
Profes <b>sors</b>	28	44	72	14%
Parking Lots	18	18	36	77
Racial Problems		29	<b>2</b> 9	6/
Cafeteria	5	17	22	57
Administration Department	3	18	21	4/
Counseling	13	7	20	37
Bookstore	15	5	20	3%
Student Government	3	13	16	3%.
Old Campus		15	15	3%.
Students		14	14	3%
Nursing Program	11	1	12	2%
Admissions and Records	12		12	27/
Traveling From Building to Building		12	12	2¾.
Untransferrable Credits	3	8	11	27
Faculty - Staff Relationships	5	6	11	2%
SDS Society		10	10	27
Cancelling of Classes	7	3	10	2%
Western Campus Facilities	10		10	2%.
Too Few Courses Offered	1	8	9	17.
Afro American Society		9	9	1/
Transportation	3	4	7	1%
Similar to High School	5	2	7	1%
Library	1	5	6	17
Parking Tickets	6	-	6	17
0ther	13	33	_51	9/
Total	1		542	1007



TABLE 57

GREATEST SATISFACTION WITH CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE
BY CAMPUS

	Di CAIII OS			
	Western	Metropolitan	Total	Percent:
Student-Teacher Relationship	70	84	154	25%
Good Professors	45	64	109	17%
New Friends	12	38	50	9%
Learning	12	36	48	. 8%
Students	14	20	34	6%
Counselors	13	17	30	5%
Good Education	15	15	30	5%
New Campus		24	24	4%
Classes	11	10	21	4%
Friendly Atmosphere	19		19	3%
Good Grades	1	18	19	3%
Small Classes	8	9	17	2%
Cost	5	10	15	2%
Freedom	12		12	1%
Library	3	5	8	1%
Union	8		8	1%
0ther	16	6	_22	4%
Total			620	100%

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  Percent of Respondents to This Question.



#### Attitudes Toward Student Services

- Over one-half of the students on both campuses indicated a considerable or strong desire for an organized orientation program.
- When asked what information would have been useful to them upon entering Cuyahoga Community College, the following items were most frequently listed:
  - a. Information concerning a specific program.
  - b. Information concerning transfer of credit.
  - c. Information concerning career opportunities.
  - d. Information on how to register.
  - e. Information on counseling offices.
- 3. Approximately 50 percent of the students spend one to two hours per week participating in extra-curricular activities.
  Grade point average or degree program does not affect the amount of time spent in extra-curricular activities.
- 4. Forty-nine percent of the students on the Western Campus and 33 percent of the students on the Metropolitan Campus felt that the student government represented them very well or moderately well. Forty-six percent of this sample on the Western Campus and 59 percent of the Metropolitan Campus students rated the effectiveness of the student government as poor or totally ineffective.
- 5. Forty-eight percent of the students on the Western Campus and 29 percent of the students on the Metropolitan Campus rated the opportunity to participate in campus activities as good



- or excellent. A rating of fair or poor was given by 34

  percent of the Western Campus students and 37 percent of the

  Metropolitan Campus respondents. Nearly one-third of the

  students on the Metropolitan Campus did not use this service.
- 6. Over one-half of the students on both campuses rated the services of the Admissions & Records Office as good or excellent. Less than one-third on each campus rated this service as fair. About 15 percent rated the service poor.
- 7. Approximately 20 percent of the students on both campuses of Cuyahoga Community College felt that knowledge of financial assistance prior to enrollment was adequate or very adequate. Fifty percent indicated that their knowledge was inadequate, poor, or non-existant. Twenty-eight percent felt that they had no reason to be aware of financial assistance programs.
- 8. One-third of the students rated the student health center service as adequate or very adequate, while over 50 percent of the students on both campuses did not use the student health center service.



TABLE 58
DESIRE FOR ORGANIZED ORIENTATION
BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	N CAM	PUS					METRO	)POL 1 T	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	PUS		
-	Male	9	Female	9	No R	No Reply	AII	All Students	Ma	e	Female	a	No Reply	eply	AIIS	Students
Desire	No.	~	No.	%	Š.	%	N	%	No.	%	No.	1	<u>چ</u>	>	No.	%
Very Much	17	22%	45	38%	;	!	98	29%	66	34%	72	42%	,	20%	172	37%
Considerably So	94	25%	23	20%	;	!	69	23%	65	72%	37	22%		20%	103	22%
Somewhat	69	38%	36	31%	-		105	35%	89	31%	38	22%	ı	;	127	28%
None	54	13%	ω	%	;	!	32	) %0 l	27	%	17	%01	ı	;	<b>††</b>	%01
Don't Know What Orientation Is	2	%	٣	3%	!	!	'n	%2	ſΛ	7%	4	2%	ı	ŀ	თ	7%
No Reply	2	16	7	7%	!	;	4	%1	4	1%	2	1%	ı	;	9	%
Total	184	100%	117	%00 l	ł	1	301	%001	289	%001	170	₹001	7	100%	194	%001



TABLE 59

INFORMATION THAT STUDENT FELT WOULD HAVE BEEN USEFUL UPON ENTERING CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE BY CAMPUS

			ESTERN				ROPOLI				
		Mal	e	Fema	ale	Ma	le	Fema	ale	All	Students
			01 E		04 6		۵, د		0, 6		% of
		İ	% of 184		% of	1	% of		% of		Total
T 6				1	117		289	<b>N</b> 1 -	170	N	Resp.
Type of	Information	No.	Resp.	No.	Kesp.	NO.	Resp.	NO.	Resp.	No.	(762).
Concerr	ning Transfer of Credit	119	65%	82	70%	203	70%	109	64%	513	66%
11	Specific Program	108	59%	69	59%	181	63%	107	63%	465	61%
11	Career Opportunities	96	52%	56	48%	150	5 <b>2</b> %	100	59%	402	<b>52</b> %,
11	How to Register	73	40%	59	50%.	113	39%	79	46%	324	43%,
• 1	Counseling Offices	72	39%	50	43%	123	43%,	63	3 <i>7</i> %	308	40%
11	Financial Aid or Place- ment Offices	53	29%	44	38%	80	28%	79	46%	256	33%
ч	Clubs and Activities	45	24%	42	36%	65	22%,	69	41%	221	29%
*;	Bookstore	34	18%	29	25%	69	24%	50	21%	182	24%
11	Student Government	40	22%	22	19%	53	18%	45	26%	160	21%
11	Student Health Center	22	12%	20	17%	48	17%	42	25%	132	17%
0ther		10	5%	7	6%	14	5%	12	<b>7</b> %	43	6%



TABLE 60

HOURS PER WEEK IN EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES BY CAMPUS

Female No No. % No	le No Reply	1 411 9									
%0	%		All Students	Male	<u> </u>	Female	e	No Reply	, ida	A11	All Students
<u>-</u>		<u>%</u>	۲۰	No.	, ,	No.		<u>چ</u>	,	0	X
,	;	152	20%	159 55%	25%	76	25%	2	7001	255	25%
%5	!	45	% <del>†</del> 1	36	12%	26	15%	ı	;	62	13%
13%	;	29	%01	91	<del>%</del> 9	10	%9	ı	;	26	<b>%9</b>
- 12%	!	70	13%	36	12%	22	13%	ı	;	58	13%
-   %51	1	38	13%	745	15%	<u>8</u>	711%	ı	!	09	13%
-   %001 /11	;	301	100%	289		170	100%	2	100%	194	100%
			3	40 38 301	40 13% 38 13% 301 100%	40 13% 36 12% 38 13% 42 15% 301 100% 289 100%	40 13% 36 12% 38 13% 42 15% 301 100% 289 100%	40       13%       36       12%       22           38       13%       42       15%       18           301       100%       289       100%       170       1	40       13%       36       12%       22       13%           38       13%       42       15%       18       11%           301       100%       289       100%       170       100%	40 13% 36 12% 22 13% 38 13% 42 15% 18 11% 301 100% 289 100% 170 100% 2	40 13% 36 12% 22 13% 38 13% 42 15% 18 11% 301 100% 289 100% 170 100% 2 100% 4



HOURS PER WEEK IN EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES
BY DEGREE

<del></del>		te in Arts		in Science %	No R	eply %
Hours	No.	%	No.		10.	
1 - 2	124	51%	154	56%	129	<b>53</b> %
3 - 4	36	15%	37	14%	31	13%
5 - 6	19	<b>7</b> %	18	<b>6</b> %	18	<b>7</b> %
More Than 6	37	15%	26	10%	35	14%
No Reply	29	12%	· 38	14%	31	13%
Total	245	100%	273	100%	244	100%



TABLE 62

HOURS PER WEEK IN EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

						01167							ME	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	LITA	CAM	PUS		l	
				WEST	WESTERN CAMPUS	7F00				+			ئ	Grade Point Average	10.0	Aver	age			
<b>4</b> -			ت	Tade F	Grade Point Average	Avera	de						ا ا					t		
-		-	-	0	0	2 0-2 9	3.0-4.0	4.0	No Re	eply	Below 1.0	0.	1.0-1.9		•	ر ا	<b>1.</b> 0-4		NO REDIY	کر م
	oelow -:	• 6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	. >		` ~	C Z	8	ON	9€	8	%	No.	ν %	No.	2 %	9	%	Š.	2
Hours	No.	%	S S	2	2	1		†												
1 - 2 Hours	;	1	21	20%	%6 <del>1</del> 68	764	745	25%	!	1	7	829	31	25% 162		24%	09	37%	;	1
2 d Hours	•	!	∞	- %61	54	13%	σ	12%	-	20%	;	;	7	13%	45	14%	73	h 5%	_	33%
				ì	c	,00	4	) (1	!	i	i	!	m	2%	21	%	7	%:	;	•
5 - 6 Hours	1	1	<b>n</b>	9/	77	۷ <b>7</b> ا	t	°							,		•			
More Than 6 Hrs.	!	å I	-2	1 2%	28	17%	7	%	i	i	!	!	თ	-   %9	36	12%	<u>~</u>	~ %	;	1
	, ,	1	<b></b>	12%	17	7/5	15	- %61	<b></b>	20%	_	33%	9	11% 37	37	13%	14	%	7	%/9
No Kepiy	<u>.</u>		<u> </u>	? !	. (		!	30	· ·	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	~	100%	7	100% 298 100% 162	298	<b>%00</b>	162	1 00%	m	100%
Total	;	i i	45	45 100%	%001 081		_ ` 	% % 001	7	%001	<b>1</b>	800	2	7	,					

TABLE 63

ERIC \*\*
\*Full Track Provided by ENC

EFFECTIVENESS OF STUDENT GOVERNMENT IN REPRESENTING STUDENTS BY CAMPUS

				L/ECTE	SIIDMOJ NASTOSTI	)   S   6					AE	TROPOL	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	AMPUS		
				WL J L				7 4 1 0 7 1 1 1	No.	-	Female	ام	NO R	No Reply	A]] S	All Students
	Wa	Male	Female		No Reply		- - -	All Students	<u>.</u>			 ১		- /s'	S	%
Effectiveness	N	%	No.	%	No.	%	N	%	<u>ا</u>	×	SO.	2		2	2	,
Very Well	15	<b>%</b>	∞	1%	;	!	23	<b>%</b>	4	1%	~	7%	1	•	7	2%
Moderate   Vell	69	38%	54	45%	1	1	123	71%	79	27%	62	36%	-	%05	142	31%
	, C	27%	30	26%	;	!	80	27%	8	31%	50	29%	-	20%	141	31%
_	3 3	22%		15%	;	!	58	19%	101	36%	32	19%	ı	1	133	28%
lotally inellective	F C	6 /2 F /6	- α	% / % / ·	:	;	17	2%	15	5%	23	14%	1	•	38	<b>%</b>
No Reply	ט פֿ	%001	2 -	%UU1		1	301	7001	289	7001	170	100%	2	100%	194	100%
Total	† 0 1	%001	<b>,</b>	5/00												

TABLE 64

EFFECTIVENESS OF STUDENT GOVERNMENT IN REPRESENTING STUDENTS BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

		-			WESTERN CAMPUS	SN C	AMPUS						METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	10 LIT	AN CA	MPUS			
				2	ade Po	oint	Grade Point Average	<u>o</u>					Grade Point Average	Poin	t Ave	rage			
Effectiveness	Below 1.0	0.%	1.0-1.9 No. %	0 Ž	2.0-2.9 No. %	m ž o	3.0-4.0 No. %	% × 0	No Reply No. %	Below No.	Below 1.00 No. %	1.0( No.	1.00-1.9 2.0-2.9 3.0-4.0 No. % No. %	2.0 No.	-2.9 %	3.0- No.	-4.0 %	No R	No Reply No. %
Very Well	:	1	%01 7		15 8%	<b>m</b>	%1 8	_	20%	;	:	:	;	7	%1	3	3%	+	:
Moderately Well	<b>¦</b> .	-	18 43%		%†† 81	,  26	34%		20%		33%	25	<b>72%</b>	6	31%	25 25%	- %5:	;	ŀ
Bad Representa- tion	;	!	8	13%	47 26%	, 25	32%	!	;	;	!	14	25%	92	31%	35 34%	——————————————————————————————————————	!	;
Totally ineffective	;	!	12 28%		31 17%		20%	!	;	7	%29	14	25%	98	29%	75 52%	%	7	%29
No reply	!	!	;		9 5%		8 10%	!	ŀ	;	;	<u> </u>	2%	25	<b>%</b>	6	%	_	33%
Total	ŀ	-	42 100	31   %0	30 100	12/2	45 100%   180 100%   77 100%	7	%001	~	100%	95	26 100% 298 100% 101 100%	298	<b>%001</b>	101	%00	m	100%



TABLE 65
EVALUATION OF OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN ACTIVITIES
BY CAMPUS

			3	WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMPUS						MET	10 PO!	METROPOLITAN CAMPIIS	PHS		
•	Male		Female	ale	No Reply	рТу	All Student	udents	Male	9	Female	) le	No.R	No Reply	All Students	Idents
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Excellent	34	18	15	13	:	;	64	91	20	7	=	9	;	:	31	7
good	09	33	37	32	!	ŀ	24	32	99	23	34	20	!	1	100	22
r E	35	91	31	25	!	ļ	99	22	62	21	34	20	2	100	98	21
Poor	26	14	σ	<sub>∞</sub>	!	;	35	12	47	91	29	17	;	;	9/	9[
Didn't use service	27	15	22	6	:	;	67	91	95	32	27	34	;	!	149	32
to reply	7	_	~	~	!	!	2	2	2	<b>,</b>	rv	m	;	;	7	2
[ota]	184 100	100	117	100	•	;	301	100	289	100	170 100	100	2	2 100	194	100

TABLE 66

EVALUATION OF OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN ACTIVITIES BY DEGREE

	Associat No.	e in Arts %	Associat No.	e in Science %	No R	eply %
Excellent	29	12	23	8	28	11
Good	66	27	70	26	61	25
Fair	58	24	54	20	52	21
Poor	30	12	47	17	34	14
Didn't Use Service	59	24	76	28	63	26
No reply	3	1	3	1	6	3
Total	245	100	273	100	244	100

TABLE 67

ERIC

# ADMISSIONS AND RECORDS OFFICE SERVICE BY CAMPUS

			WE	WESTERN CAMPUS	,AMPUS						METI	ROPOL 17	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	SUS		
	Male	Je	Female	ıle	No Re	Reply	All Students	udents	Male	в	Female	) le	No Reply	ylda	All Students	udents
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Excellent	27	15	7	9	;	:	34	=	31	Ξ	<b>با</b>	8	1	:	54	10
Good	77	41	53	45	1	:	130	43	123	42	73	42	7	100	198	43
Fair	15	28	40	34	:	!	9	30	48	59	52	31	;	:	136	53
Poor	26	71	15	13	ł	!	41	14	64	17	25	15	;	;	74	91
No reply	<u>~</u>	7	7	7	1	:	Ŋ	2	7	_	9	7	:	;	<b>∞</b>	2
Total	184 100	100	117	100	:	-	301	100	289	100	170 100	100	7	100	194	100

TABLE 68

4

ERIC Trull first Provided by ERIC

KNOWLEDGE OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BEFORE ENTERING CUYAHOGA COMMUNITY COLLEGE BY CAMPUS

				I TOUT	SIIDMOJ NOJESTI	2116				·	¥	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN C	AMPUS		
				1 _				All Students	Male	0)	Female	le	No Re	Reply	AllS	Students
	<b>Ta</b> (2			<b>n</b>	NO NOT	- % - %	, Q	%	8	%	No.	%	No.	%	S.	%
Knowledge	è	Q Q		ę		2	1									į
Very Adequate	0	2%	~	7%	!	;	12	%1	m	%	4	7%	!	!	7	%
Adequate	28	15%	23	20%	;	;	5	12%	47	<b>%9</b> 1	25	<b>15%</b>	!	!	72	<b>%9</b> I
to adeal ate	20	1 1%	23	20%	;	;	43	<b>%†</b> !	77	15%	42	72%	7	100%	88	.% 19%
	78	15%	12	10%	!	:	047	13%	39	1 3%	56	<b>15%</b>	;	!	65	% <del>1</del> 1
NOD-FX: Athort	77	24%	25	21%	!	;	69	23%	28	21%	34	70%	;	;	95	<b>50%</b>
, ح	53	25%	30	25%	!	:	83	28%	76	33%	37	75%	:	ł	<u></u>	<b>78%</b>
Aware of Service		26		%0		;	~	%	<b>.</b>	%	7	-%1	;	!	9	%
No Reply	184	%I 781	117	%001 211	:	:	301	%001	289	2	170	%001	7	100%	194	100%
	5	9		2												



TABLE 69

## STUDENT HEALTH CENTER SERVICE BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	I CAMP	US					MET	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	AN CA	MPUS .		
	Male		Female	e	No R	No Reply	AII	All Students	Male		Female	e	No R	Reply	A I	All Students
Evaluation	Š.	%	<u>٩</u>	%	No.	%	No.	%	<u>S</u>	%	No.	%	No.	%	S	%
Very Adequate	27	15%	3	797	;	<u> </u>	28	761	54	~~~ <b>%</b>	27	<b>%9</b> 1	;	i	5	%11
Adequate	30	<b>%9</b> 1	54	21%	i	!	59	%8 <sub>1</sub>	20	% 8 1	41	<b>5</b> 4%	;	ŀ	<u>e</u>	<b>%</b>
Somewhat Adequate	=	<b>%9</b>	~	74%	!	!	16	%5	17	2%	σ	2%	:	;	23	%
Less Than Adequate	2	3%	_	%	!	;	9	7%	13	%1	ς.	3%	!	<i>&gt;</i>	<u>~</u>	%1
Didn't Use Service	109	26%	24	<b>%9</b> <sup>†</sup>	!	;	163	25%	187	%59	<b>8</b> 8	21%	7	%00 l	275	<b>%09</b>
No Reply	7	%	7	7%	:	i	4	%	_	ŀ	8	%	;	;	~	%
Total	184	%00 l	117	100%	:	;	301	%001	389	%001	170	%00 I	7	100%	194	%001

84

### Attitudes Toward Library Service

- 1. Sixty percent of the students on the Western Campus and
  41 percent of the students on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that the availability of professional help in the
  library was excellent or good. Twenty-eight percent indicated that the availability of the professional help was
  fair or poor at the Western Campus, while 34 percent felt the
  same way on the Metropolitan Campus. Twenty-four percent of
  the students on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that they
  did not utilize the services of professional help in the
  library.
- Nearly 60 percent of the respondents indicated that they did not use the newspaper collection in the library. Of the remaining 40 percent who did rate this service a good rating was cited by approximately 25 percent of the respondents.
- 3. Fifty-one percent of the students at the Western Campus and 35 percent on the Metropolitan Campus felt that the periodical collection was good or excellent. Twenty-five percent at the Western Campus, and 42 percent on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that they do not use this service. A slightly larger percentage of students with lower grade point averages on both campuses felt that the periodical collection in the library was excellent than did those students in the higher grade point average range.



- 4. Fifty-two percent of the respondents on the Western Campus and 41 percent of the respondents on the Metropolitan Campus indicated that the book collection in the library is good or excellent. Twenty-five percent at the Metropolitan Campus indicated that they did not use that service compared with 10 percent at the Western Campus. A greater number of students in the 1.0 to 1.9 grade point averages on both campuses indicated that the book collection in the library was excellent or good than did the students in the 3.0 to 4.0 grade point average range.
- 5. Over three-fourths of the students on both campuses indicated that the library hours were adequate or better than expected.
- indicated that they used bibliographical cards once in a while.

  Thirty percent at the Western Campus and 22 percent of the Metropolitan Campus students indicated consistent use of bibliographical note cards. It appears that as the grade point average increases, so does the consistent use of bibliographical note cards. It also appears that this type of research is used less frequently by those in an Associate in Science program than those in an Associate in Arts program.



TABLE 70

AVAILABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL HELP IN LIBRARY BY CAMPUS

			ح ا	WESTERN CAMPUS	I CAMPI	JS					AE	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	I TAN C	AMPUS		
	Male	v	Female	<u>ө</u>	No Reply	ep Jy	All St	All Students	Male	[ .	Female	<u>e</u>	- No R	No Reply	ATTS	All Students
Availability	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	0	%	ON	%	No.	%
Excellent	45	<b>5</b> 4%	20	17%	ļ	!	65	75%	28	10%	14	<b>%</b>	!	!	77	%
Poog	99	36%	47	%07	!	!	13	38%	76	33%	54	32%	_	20%	149	32%
Fair	<b>1</b> †	22%	35	30%	;	;	9/	72%	20	17%	47	<b>78%</b>	-	20%	98	21%
Poor	<b>*</b> 9	3%	2	<b>%</b> †7	1	!	Ξ	%	35	12%	23	13%	ŀ	!	58	13%
Didn't Use	25	14%	<b>∞</b>	1%	!	!	33	%11	8	28%	30	<b>18</b> %	<u> </u>	!	Ξ	<b>7</b> †%
No Reply	_	%	7	7%	;	!	m	%1	-	;	7	1%	!	!	<b>m</b>	%1
Total	184	100%	117	100%	;	;	301	100%	289	100%	170	%001 021	7	100%	194	100%
												1				

TABLE 71

AVAILABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL HELP IN LIBRARY
BY DEGREE

		te in Arts	1	te in Science	No	Reply
Availability	<u>No.</u>	%	No.	%	No.	<u>%</u>
Excellent	37	15%	39	14%	31	13%
Good	98	40%	82	30%	82	34%
Fair	61	25%	54	20%	59	24%
Poor	20	<b>8</b> %	32	I <b>2</b> %	17	7%
Didn't Use Assistance	29	12%	63	23%	52	21%
No Reply			3	1%	3	1%
Total	245	100%	273	100%	244	100%



TABLE 72

ERIC

NEWSPAPER COLLECTION IN LIBRARY BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMPL	Sí					ME	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN C	AMPUS		
	Male	61	Female	le	No Reply	sp 1 y	All	All Students	Male	(I)	Female	Je	No R	No Reply	A11 S	All Students
Evaluation	8	~ `	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Excellent	17	%	91	%71	:	!	33	11%	25	%	13	<b>%</b> 8	;	!	38	%8
Poog	53	29%	27	23%	;	:	80	27%	74	79%	43	75%	!	;	117	797
Poor	9	3%	7	3%	;	!	10	3%	27	%	7	<del>-</del> % <del>1</del>	!	!	34	%
Didn't Use Service	105	21%	67	21%	;	;	172	21%	159	25%	105	%29	7	100%	598	28%
No Reply	m 	7%	~	3%	;	ŀ	9	2%	4	%1	7	%1	į,	:	9	%1
Total	184	184 100%	117	<b>%00</b> 1	!	1	301	%00 l	289	100%	1 70	%001 021	7	%00 l	1947	%001
									_							

TABLE 73

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

PERIODICAL COLLECTION IN LIBRAR' B' CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	V CAMP	US					ME	METROPOLITAN CAMPIIS	I TAN C	AMPILS		
	Male		Female	]e	No R	No Reply	All	All Students	Male	e	Female	le	NO R	No Reply	AIIA	All Students
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	0 N	% L	,	; ; ;
Excellent	29		91	15%	ŀ	!	45	15%	26	%6	22	13%	1	-	87	10%
po <b>0</b> 9	<i>L</i> 9	37%	04	. 35%	;	-	107	36%	75	792	42	72%	!	!	117	25%
Fair	20	11%	25	21%	;	;	54	15%	39	13%	54	%71	_	20%	<del>7</del> 9	ا 4%
Poor	14	<b>%</b>	01	%	;	;	54	<b>%</b>	20	~ %	9		!	ŀ	39	%
Didn't Use Service	52	28%	24	70%	;	;	9/	72%	127	45%	63	37%	_	20%		45%
No Reply	7	!	2	:	¦	ŀ	7	%!	;	;	!	!	!	!		;
Total	184	%001	1117	%00 l	!	!	301	100%	289 100%	%001	170	%001	7	1 00%	194	100%
							_									

TABLE 74

PERIODICAL COLLECTION IN LIBRARY BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

				WES	TERN	WESTERN CAMPUS	15							4ETROF	20L1T,		MPUS			
				Grade	Poil	Point Average	rage							Grade		t Ave	Average			}-
	Belo	Below 1.0	0.	1	2.0	lii	Γ		No Rep	<b>و</b> ه ا ۸	Belov	Below 1.0	o (	.0-1.9  -0.	2.0-2.9	2.9  -  -	3.0-4.0 NO %		No Reply	<u>≻</u> do 
Evaluation	No.	%	<u>چ</u>	%	No	%	<u>چ</u>	,%	ا	%	Q	9	Š	Q		0	2	0		e l
Excellent	-	-	7	1 7%	29	%91	σ	12%	;	;	;	;	9	11%	30	10%	12	12%	;	1
poo <b>5</b>	¦	!	8	43%	62	35%	56	34%	-	20%	!	!	91	28%	85	29%	15	15%	-	33%
Fair	!	I I	<u></u>	1%	28	<b>%9</b> 1	14	18%	-	!	<del>-</del>	33%	7	%/	43	14%	16	16%	!	!
Psor	<u></u>	<del> </del>	<u>~</u>	1%	17	%	4	2%	-	!	!	!	7	%	22	1%	12	12%	!	!
Didn't Use This Service			10	24%	45	23%	23	30%	<b>-</b>	%05	7	%29	25	<b>72%</b>	2 28	%07	777	43%	7	%29
No Reply	1	!	<u>-</u>	2%		- %1		1%	!	!	! !	!		!	1	!	2	2%	-	!
Total	!!	;	45	100%	42 100% 180 100%	%001	56	%001	7	%001	m	100%	7.2	77 100%	298	100%	101	%001	m	100%
			_		_															

TABLE 75

BOOK COLLECTION IN LIBRARY BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPIJS	N CAM	PUS					MET	ROPOLI	METROPOLITAN CAMPIJS	4P!JS		
	Male	a	Female	G	No R	No Reply	All Stud	udents	Male	в	Female	_ 	No Reply	ep l y	All S	All Students
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Excellent	25	14%	17	15%	!	}	745	14%	26	%5	2	<b>%</b> 8	;	!	39	%8
poog	74	%04	42	35%	ŀ	ļ	116	38%	89	32%	62	36%	1	:	152	33%
Fair	141	22%	36	31%	!	!	77	79%	56		<b>†</b> ††	26%	2	%001	100	<b>22</b> %
Poor	17	%	15	13%	ŀ	ł	32	11%	33	%11	9	%11	1	;	52	%11
Didn't Use Service	25	14%	9	%5	<b>!</b>	!	31	%01	84	29%	31	18%	;	;	116	.52%
No Reply	2	%1		%1		1	~	%1	_	i		%1	!	;	2	1%
Total	184	100%	1117	%001	;	1	301	%001	289	%001	170	3001	7	100%	194	100%
		7		_				-								



TABLE 76

BOOK COLLECTION IN LIBRARY BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

Below 1,0         1.0-1.9         2.0-2.9         3.0-4.0         No. %         No. %					WE	STER	WESTERN CAMPUS	US						MET	ROPOL	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	CAMP	JS			
Below 1.0       1.0-1.9       2.0-2.9       3.0-4.0       No. %					Grac	le poi	nt Av	erage						Gra	de Po	int A	vera	ae de			
on         No.         %         No.         No.         %         No.         N	-	Below	0.	0.	6.1-	2.0-	.2.9	3.0-	4.0	No F	lep 1 y	Below	0.1	-0.	6.1	2.0-2.9	5.9	3.0	3.0-4.0	No R	No Reply
1t	aluation	No.	>	No.	/	SN.	` \	No.	%	8	%	No.	%	٠ ا	%	8	\$	No.	×	No.	>
15 36% 68 38% 31 40% 7 100% 21 38% 103 10 23% 46 25% 21 28% 1 33% 8 14% 64 1 1 2% 25 14% 6 8% 7 7 12% 34 4 10% 19 11% 8 10% 2 67% 15 27% 68 4 100% 180 100% 77 100% 2 100% 3 100% 56 100% 298	sellent	1	:	12	29%	20	× :	0	13%	. !	1	:	1	Z.	8	,	76	7	1/2	1	ì
10 23% 46 25% 21 28% 1 33% 8 14% 64 1 2% 25 14% 6 8% 7 7 12% 34 4 10% 19 11% 8 10% 2 67% 15 27% 68 4 100% 180 100% 77 100% 2 100% 3 100% 56 100% 298	p	<b>:</b>	!	-5	36%	89	38%	<u>~</u>	<b>70</b> %	^	%001	:	:	7	38%		35%	27	27%		33%
se <td< td=""><td>L</td><td>;</td><td>!</td><td>2</td><td>23%</td><td>7:6</td><td>25%</td><td>21</td><td>28%</td><td>;</td><td>i</td><td>_</td><td>33%</td><td>∞</td><td>1 4%</td><td></td><td>21%</td><td>27</td><td>27%</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></td<>	L	;	!	2	23%	7:6	25%	21	28%	;	i	_	33%	∞	1 4%		21%	27	27%	1	1
se        4       10%       19       11%       8       10%        2       67%       15       27%       68            2       1%       1       1% <t< td=""><td>١.</td><td>;</td><td>!</td><td>_</td><td>2%</td><td>25</td><td><u>%</u>7.</td><td>9</td><td>~ %</td><td>;</td><td>;</td><td>;</td><td>;</td><td>7</td><td>12%</td><td>34</td><td>% -</td><td>=</td><td><u>%</u></td><td>;</td><td>!</td></t<>	١.	;	!	_	2%	25	<u>%</u> 7.	9	~ %	;	;	;	;	7	12%	34	% -	=	<u>%</u>	;	!
3ply       2     1%     1     1%       2         42 100%     180 100%     77 100%     2     100%     3     100%     56 100%     298	in't Use rvice	:	!	4	%01	<u>0</u>	%11	∞	%1	:	;	7	67%	5	27%		23%	29	28%	7	<i>67</i> %
42 100%   180 100%   77 100%   3 100%   56 100%   298	Reply	;	!	i 1	:	7	%1	_	~	;		;	!	;	!	7	%!	1	!!	;	1
		;	!	745	, %001	180	%00	177	%00	7	%001	~	3001			298	101 2001	- 101	<b>%001</b>	m	%00 l

TABLE 77

### COLLEGE LIBRARY HOURS BY CAMPUS

				WESTERN CAMPUS	CAMF	.US					METR	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	AN CA	MPUS		
<b>!</b>	Male	4	Female	e	No R	No Reply	A11	Students	Male	a	Female	e)	No Reply	eply (	AIIS	All Students
Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	8	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Better Than Expected	35	.% 	27	23%	!	!	62	2 1%	07	14%	30	% 8 1	;	:	0,7	15%
Adequate	120	%59	73	<b>62</b> %	<b>:</b>	;	193	<b>%</b> 19	184	<b>%</b> 19	Ξ	%59		20%	596	<b>%</b> 49
Inadequate	14	<b>%</b>	ω	%/	+	!	22	7%	12	— % <del>1</del>	12	*	1	1	54	%5
Very Poor	4	2%	9	2%	-	!	0	3%	∞	3%	!	:	;	:	∞	<b>5</b> %
Didn't Use Library	0	2%	2	7%	;	!	12	<b>%</b> †7	777	15%	15	%	_	20%	09	13%
No Reply	-	1%	_	%	!	1	7	%1		!	2	%1	!	1	m	%
Total	184	100%	117	%001	!	;	301	%00 l	289	%001	170	100%	7	100%	194	%001
						1										

94

TABLE 78
USE OF BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE CARDS
BY CAMPUS

				ESTERN	CIECTERN CAMPILS	5					METR	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	TAN CA	MPUS		
•	4		Female		No Reply	ep lv l	A L	All Students	Male		Female	e	No R	No Reply	A L	All Students
<b>a</b>	NON.	~ ~	N 0 N	 %	9 2	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
No Research of This Type	28	15%	=	%	1	;	39	13%	83	25%	3,7	22%	:	;	120	<b>26</b> %
Not Sure What Bib. Cards Are	0	2%	~	3%	;	:	5	2%	2	<del></del>	9	%†	8 8	:	<u>0</u>	747
Once in a While	96	53%	52	<b>%</b> ††	:	;	148	%6 <sub>4</sub> 7	132	<b>%9</b> †1	82	<b>78%</b>	-	%05	215	74.
Use Consistently	43	23%	49	75%	:	!	95	30%	59	<b>70%</b>	43	<b>25</b> %		20%	103	777
No Reply	7	7/47	8	2%	:	;	9	3%	7	%1	7	%	:	!	4	%1
Total	184	100%.	100%	%00 l	;	;	301	%001	289	%00 l	170	100%	2	%001	194	100%

TABLE 79

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE CARDS BY GRADE POINT AVERAGE

Use Consistently   1.0 = 1.9   2.0 = 2.0	WESTERN CAMPUS					METR	JO dC	METROPOLITAN CAMPUS	AMP D	3			
Below 1.0 1.0 No. % No. % No. 1.0 No.	Grade Point Average					Grad	Pol	<b>Grade Point Average</b>	erage	•			
b 4 10% 7 rds 12 28% 48	2.0-2.9   3.	3.0-4.0	No Reply	L_	Below 1.0	1.0-1.9	1.9	2.0-2.9	_	3.0-4.0	0.	No Reply	ep l y
b 4 10% 7 rds 18 43% 99 12 28% 48	No. % No.	%	No.	No.		No.	%	No.	2 2	<u>ي</u>	%	9	2
rds 4 10% 7 18 43% 99 12 28% 48	21 12%   11	% <del>†</del> 1	:		67%	7	37%	80	27%	9		_	33%
18 43% 99 12 28% 48	2	% %	!		1	w	<del></del>	=		~	3%	:	•
12 28% 48	62 24% 56	3.%	2 100%		<b>!</b>	54	45%	138	794	53	53%	i i	!
	48 2 % 32	75%	1		33%	9	- %11	99	22%	28	28%	7	%19
No Reply 5 5	5 3% 3		!		!	٣	~~		2.7	;	:	!	:
Total     42 100%   180 100	180 100%	77 100%	2 100%	3%	100%	%001 95	%00	298	298 100% 101 100%	101	%00	~	100%



TABLE 80

USE OF BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE CARDS
B' DEGREE

'!se	Associat No.	te in Arts %	Associat No.	e in Science %	No No.	Reply %
Don't Do Research of This Type	29	12%	77	28%	53	22%
Not Sure What Bibliographic Cards Are	11	5%	11	4%	10	4%
Once in a While	128	<b>52</b> %	122	44%	113	46%
Use Consistently	74	30%	62	23%	59	24%
No Reply	3	1%	1	1%	9	4%
Total	245	100%	273	100%	244	100%



### IV - CONCLUSION

### ANALYSIS OF SAMPLE

The 762 students who responded to the questionnaire concerning attitudes and opinions of Cuyahoga Community College appear to be representative of the entire student body. Sixty-two percent of the respondents were males and 38 percent were females. These percentages are nearly identical to the official male-female enrollment at Cuyahoga Community College. The ages of the students also are distributed as the ages of the entire student body. Most Cuyahoga Community College students are between 20 and 22 years of age, followed by the 17 to 19 age group. Forty-seven percent of the Western Campus and 52 percent of the Metropolitan Campus students who participated in this study were between the ages of 20 to 22, while 43 percent on the Western Campus and 34 percent on the Metropolitan Campus students were between the ages of 17 and 19. The ages of the respondents were also like the ages of college students nationally.

On both campuses approximately 60 percent of respondents had a grade point average of 2.0 to 2.9, followed by approximately 25 percent with a grade point average between 3.0 to 4.0. These figures were followed by approximately 14 percent of the respondents with a grade point average of 1.0 to 1.9. These grades indicate that the respondents represent students of all grade levels.

The diversity of interests of the respondents was reflected by analysis of the responses by major area of study. This analysis shows that students responding to the questionnaire represent nearly every curriculum



offered at both campuses. The respondents to this questionnaire indicated over 60 different major areas in which they were enrolled. It does appear, however, in the analysis in the major areas of study, that a much smaller percentage of students are undecided concerning their curriculum than is typically the case at the junior college level.

The number of hours worked by Cuyahoga Community College students who responded to this questionnaire is typical of other two-year college students, with 13 percent of the students on the Western Campus and 9 percent of the students on the Metropolitan Campus indicating that they worked 1 to 10 hours per week. National studies show that the typical two-year college student works on an average of 10.7 hours per week. It does appear, however, that more students in the sample are working a greater number of hours than is true nationally. The survey shows that 40 percent of the students on the Western Campus and 37 percent of the students on the Metropolitan Campus were working 21 hours or more, while a national study indicates that only 29.7 percent of the students worked over 21 hours.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>K. Patrica Cross, <u>The Junior College Student</u>: A Research Description Center for Research and Development in Higher Education (Berkeley University of California, 1968), p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Leonard L. Baird, James M. Richards, Jr. and Linda R. Shevel, <u>A</u>

<u>Description of Graduate of Two Year Colleges</u>, American College Testing

<u>Program (lowa City: Research and Development Division, 1969)</u>, p. 18.

In analyzing the sample in terms of grade point average compared to hours employed, the findings in the Cuyahoga Community College sample are very similar to other two-year college students. It was found with the Cuyahoga Community College sample, as is the case with other two-year college students studied, that the number of hours employed does not affect the grade point average. The amount of time taken to get to the campus in terms of travel by Cuyahoga Community College students also is very similar to that of other two-year college students.

The plans of Cuyahoga Community College students after leaving college show that slightly more than the national average number of students plan to continue work on a baccalaureate degree either on a full-time or part-time basis. Over 70 percent of the students on each campus in this study indicated intentions to pursue a baccalaureate degree while about two-thirds of the students in the national two-year college study indicated plans to receive a baccalaureate degree. Also, as has been the case in other studies of Cuyahoga Community College students, a very large percentage of the Associate in Science students in this sample indicated that they plan to continue work on a baccalaureate degree.

Finally, when the students in the sample were asked whether they planned to return in the fall, approximately two-thirds of the students on both campuses indicated that they did plan to return and one-third indicated that they did not plan to return in the fall. When asked if they would enroll at Cuyahoga Community College if they had to do over again,



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Baird, et al., <u>op. cit</u>., pp. 20-21.

<sup>4&</sup>lt;u>lbid.</u>, p. 23.

80 percent or more of the students indicated that they would enroll again. Cited as the major reasons for students who plan not to return in the fall were: to enter a four-year college, they will have graduated, or they will enter the military service.

This analysis of the sample of students responding to the questionnaire indicates that the sample is representative of the Cuyahoga

Community College student body. Slight exception to this statement may
be made in the areas where students were asked to indicate their curriculum and their intentions after leaving Cuyahoga Community College. The

Cuyahoga Cormunity College sample indicates that fewer students were
undecided concerning their major than is typically the case in the twoyear junior college and more students in the sample indicated their desire
to continue in a baccalaureate program than is normally expected.

In the following pages of this section of the study, some general conclusions based upon the data obtained from the sample of Cuyahoga Community College respondents described in the previous paragraphs, will be discussed.

### Attitudes With Regard to Peers

Data obtained from the sample in this study indicates that a majority of Cuyahoga Community College students are interested in a friendly and cooperative association with persons of different race, creed, and color. This feeling was evident in all categories of tabulation, that is: by returning and non-returning students, by GPA, and by campus attended. There was in addition, however, an indication that only about one-third of the students at Cuyahoga Community College felt that there was a feeling of college spirit and common goals among the students,



while nearly one-half of the students indicated that there was possibly some feeling of college spirit or common goals. It should be pointed out, however, that this feeling of the lack of college spirit is not unusual in a two-year commuting institution.

## Attitudes Regarding Instruction

In general, it can be concluded that the vast majority of Cuyahoga Community College students sampled in this study were pleased with the quality of instruction received at Cuyahoga Community College. On both campuses over 80 percent of the students indicated such satisfaction. This feeling was reinforced by student responses concerning the percent of instructors that knew them by their first name and the success they experienced in meeting with instructors during office hours. In both of these areas, student responses were very favorable. A majority of the students also indicated that they felt they had the freedom to disagree with their professors. Student satisfaction with instructors is also made evident by 20 percent of students who indicated that they considered 75 percent or more of their instructors as outstanding. This finding far exceeds the findings of a recent national study which indicated that 10.5 percent of the students surveyed categorized their teachers as extremely capable. 5

# Attitudes Regarding Counseling Services

The student's assessment of the counseling services at Cuyahoga Community College was also very favorable. When students were asked to



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, p.10.

evaluate the availability of the counselor and the value of the counselor in helping the student explore his interests and goals, again over one-half of the students rated the Cuyahoga Community College service on both campuses as good or excellent. The data also indicated that a very large percentage of the Cuyahoga Community College students feel relatively certain of their vocational choice or major field of study with only 10 percent indicating an uncertainty, a finding that may be related to the counseling service. This indicates that less students are undecided concerning their studies than is normally experienced in a two-year college.

### Attitudes Regarding Student Services in General

It is very difficult to reach general conclusions from the data obtained in this sample concerning the broad range of student services.

Although such conclusions cannot be reached, some suggestions taken from the response data can be incorporated.

In reviewing the data related to the Admissions & Records Office, it can be concluded that in general, the majority of the students are satisfied with the services of the Admissions & Records Office. In the area of extra-curricular activities it was also determined from the sample that most students participate in some manner in extra-curricular functions and again a large majority of the students felt that they had a good or excellent opportunity to participate in activities if they so desired. The data also reveals that a rather large percentage, nearly one-third on the Metropolitan Campus and 20 percent on the Western Campus, indicate that they have no desire to participate in activities.

In the area of student government again the Cuyahoga Community College



sample was much like other national colleges studied in the reaction to the effectiveness of student government. Less than one-half of the students at Cuyahoga Community College felt that student government represented the students well or moderately well.

When responding to questions concerning financial assistance and student health services, a very large segment of the students responded that they had no need to utilize this service and, therefore, had no reaction. Of those that used both of these services again a very high percentage of the students indicated a satisfaction of the service they received.

From this brief review of the data, it can be assumed that the students are satisfied with the services provided for the students if they have opportunity or need to take advantage of such services.

The students did indicate, however, two or three additional areas in which they could possibly appreciate greater service if opportunity existed. Most students, in reacting to the financial assistance questions, indicated that they had a desire for more information concerning the financial assistance program before coming to Cuyahoga Community College. However, there was no suggestion nor was there opportunity for students to indicate how this information could be made available to them. Also, in responding to questions concerning an organized orientation program, the students indicated that they felt that there was some need for such a program. Again in the area related to information available, the students were concerned about additional information about transfer of credits, and information about specific career programs.



### Attitudes Toward Library Services

The assessment of student opinions concerning the library services, is much more objective than other areas of the study. The only general conclusion that can be reached in analysis of the data is that an unusually high percentage of students indicated that they did not utilize many of the services offered by the Cuyahoga Community College libraries. For example, when asked to evaluate the newspaper, periodical, and book collection in the library, over one-fourth of the students indicated that they did not use the service. In assessing the responses of the students who did use the service, a majority of the responses in all of the areas were that the collections were excellent or good.

The students indicated that they were very satisfied with the hours of the library with over 80 percent of the students on both campuses indicating that the hours were adequate or better than they expected.

Attitudes Regarding the College and Policies of the College

The general acceptance of Cuyahoga Community College policies by the students was indicated by the sample of students surveyed in this study. Analysis of the data shows that nearly 90 percent of the students felt that the rules were fair and logical and approximately 85 percent of the students on both campuses indicated that they would enroll at Cuyahoga Community College again if they had to do it over. The reasons cited for students who said that they would enroll again are typical of the reasons given at other two-year colleges. They are: cost, location, good instruction and good personal relations with professors. The major reasons that students would not enroll did not indicate a negativism



ference. For example, the major reasons listed by the less than 15 percent who indicated that they would not enroll again were that they prefer a four-year college and were concerned with problems of transferring of credit. Similar attitudes were illustrated in the area of professional staff relations. Again the majority of the students felt that the professional staff was friendly and courteous most of the time and that the staff was very helpful in providing professional help if it was requested. When asked to indicate their greatest satisfaction at Cuyahoga Community College, the students indicated that they were pleased with the student faculty relationship and the quality of instruction. Whereas, the greatest dissatisfaction that could be documented is the lack of school spirit.

It can be concluded that in the areas of college regulations and services a large percentage of the students surveyed in this study indicated a favorable attitude.

### General Findings

At the onset of this study it was indicated that there were four general purposes of the study in addition to the specific areas discussed in this conclusion. This study attempted to determine: if the students at Cuyahoga Community College were different from the typical college students in terms of their attitudes, ideas and cultural background; if they felt that the instructional program and services at Cuyahoga Community College were meeting their needs; the students feelings toward their peers and the professional staff at Cuyahoga Community



College and if the college is providing the type and quality of education that the students expected when they enrolled.

Analysis of the data indicates that the students at Cuyahoga Community College are not essentially different from the typical college student today. Their attitudes and ideas, as sampled in this study, are typical of other two-year college students. If there is a difference, it would appear that the difference would be that Cuyahoga Community College students are, in general, more satisfied with services provided than is normally expected of college students.

The students sampled in this study left little doubt that they are pleased with the instructional program and the services provided to assist them in meeting their needs. Their evaluation of instruction, instructors, and student services repeatedly showed a majority of favorable responses.

The rating by the students of their peers and of the professional staff at Cuyahoga Community College also was substantially positive.

Their responses indicated a general acceptance of their peers, and an acceptance of the professional staff.

Finally, it can be concluded that the students are receiving the type and quality of education that they expected when they enrolled. Several examples of this were presented in the analysis of these findings in the previous section of this conclusion. However, probably the strongest indicator that the students received the type and quality of education expected was the fact that over 85 percent indicated that they would enroll at Cuyahoga Community College if they had it to do over again.



**APPENDIX** 





# Cuyahoga Community College Office of Institutional Research

2123 East 9th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44115

# STUDENT OPINIONAIRE June 1969

In the belief that your opinions as a student at CCC are important in helping us to create a continually improving institution we are asking you to take a few moments of time to complete the enclosed questionnaire. Please answer each question frankly and return it in the postage free envelope. Do not identify yourself in any way on the questionnaire.

Ġ
help.
vour l
>
ģ
Nov
Thank
μ.

checking the blank beside the appropriate letter of the multiple choice selections	
side the ap	
e blank bes	, C
checking the	where indicated
Indicate your response by	or write in your response w
Indicate you	or write in v
Instructions:	

Female  23 to 25  Older than 25  Other  Netro en at CCC is: 2.0 to 2.9 3.0 to 4.0 Is per week: 31 - 40  Not employed  More than one and one-half hours  Work and continue toward a Baccalaureate degree Other  No Fairly unwilling Fairly unwilling In No Fairly unwilling In No Fairly unwilling In No Fairly unwilling In No Fairly unwilling In No Fairly unwilling In No I	nd exa	
	If the response to this question is No, please indicate reasons and	

14



b. \_\_\_\_\_ No

a. —— Yes If no, why not?	Would you say there is anything approaching college a There is strong school spirit b There is to a moderate degree Speaking generally, how willing do you feel mcst stud racial, ethnic, or social background? a Very willing b Somewhat willing	13. Do you feel that most rules concerning CCC students are rogical and rail?  a Yes  If the response to this question is No, please indicate reasons and examples	<ul> <li>14. Based upon your experience or the experience of friends, what is your opinion of the quality of help received with personal problems from members of the professional staff including deans, counselors, faculty, etc?</li> <li>a I did not seek help on personal problems d Very little help</li> <li>b Consistently very helpful</li> <li>e No help at all</li> </ul>	sed upon ofession	d I was frequently treated discourteously.  16. If you had it to do over, would you enroll at Cuyahoga Community College?  a Yes  17. Please state the most important reason for your response to #16		<ul> <li>18. Can you say that in the past year or so you have reacted to some work of art-that is painting, sculpture, music, etc., with a deep and intense personal feeling?</li> <li>a. Yes, quite a number of times</li> <li>b. Yes, several times</li> <li>d. No</li> <li>lo conducting research for papers involving use of the library, do you use bibliographical notecards or a similar</li> </ul>	technique?  a I have not had opportunity to do this type of research in my curriculum.  b I am not sure what bibliographic cards and references are.  c I use them once in a while.	instruction received at Cuyahoga C	proximately what percent of instructors that you have had this pto the standard of the standar	general, how successful do you feel you have been in meeting with a Very successful do you feel you have been in meeting with do you feel you have been and	23. What is your impression concerning the amount of freedom students have in class in disagreeing with instructors and other students?  a. Students are encouraged to reflect disagreement. c. Students are allowed to disagree within the boundaries of the subject field.  b. Students frequently disagree do disagreement.  d. Students are occasionally penalized for disagreement.	
------------------------------	---	--	--	----------------------	---	--	--	---	------------------------------------	--	---	--	--

24.	In general, how successful do you feel your instructors have	e been in e	challenging you to do your best possible work?
	a Almost all have challenged me. b Several were very successful	c	They were somewhat successful in motivating me They were totally unsuccessful.
25.	What percent of the instructional staff under whom you took	course wo	ork would you consider are outstanding teachers?
	a 75% or more .	d	0 to 24%
	b 50 to 74%	e	Your estimate
26	c 25 to 49. In general how would you rate the availability of the college	o aguncala	ر الاستان
20.	a I did not use this service	d	
	b Excellent	e	
	c Good		
27.	How would you rate your counselor in helping you explore in	nterests a	nd guals :: terms of your educational and occu-
	pational purposes? a. ——— I did not use this service	A	Fair
	b Excellent	d e	
	c Good	·	1 001
28.	How certain are you that your present major field is the one		
	a Very certain		Somewhat uncertain
20	b Fairly certain  To what degree do you feel an organized orientation program	aa	Very uncertain
25.	a. ——— Very much	d.	Not at all
	b Considerably so	e	I am not sure what an orientation program is.
	c Somewhat		
30.	Check the types of information that you feel would have bee		
	<ul><li>a Information concerning specific programs, that is</li><li>b Information concerning transfer of credit.</li></ul>	technical,	university parallel, etc.
	c Information concerning services by:		
	1 Counseling offices		
	2Financial aid or placement office		
	3Student health center		
	4Bookstore d Information concerning career opportunities in you	r maior ar	00
	e Information on how to register.	ii iilajoi ai	ea.
	i Information on student government.		
	g Information on clubs and activities.		
	h. — Other		
31.	Approximately how many hours per week on the average have	e you devo	oted to extra-curricular activities while enrolled
	at CCC?	_	E 0
	a 1 - 2 b 3 - 4	c	More than 6
32.	b 3 - 4 In general, how effective do you feel your student government	nt is in re	presenting students?
	a Represented the students very well. b Represented the students moderately well.	c	Did not represent the students well
	b Represented the students moderately well.	d	Totally ineffective
33.	How would you rate the opportunity to participate in student a Excellent		
	b Good	d	I did not use this assistance.
	c Fair		
34.	The availability of professional help when using the library		_
	a Excellent b Good	d	
	c Fair	е	I did not use this assistance.
35.	The newspaper collection in the library for my purpose is:		
	a Excellent	d	
	b Good		I did not use this service.
36.	The periodical (magazine) collection in the library for my pu a Excellent	rpose is:	Door
	b Good		I did not use this service.
	c Fair	Ŭ- <del></del>	and not dos and ostrosi
37.	The book collection in the library for my purpose is:		
	a Excellent	d	
	b Good c Fair	e	I did not use this service.
38	The college library hours for my purpose seemed:		
1,0.	a Better than expected	d	Very poor
	b Adequate	e	I did not use the library.
	c Inadequate	( CC'	
39.	In general, I found the services at the Admissions and Recor		
	a Excellent b Good	c	
40.	Before I enrolled at CCC, my knowledge of the availability of		
	a Very adequate	d	Poor
	b Adequate	e	Non-Existent
	c Inadequate The services provided by the Student Health Center for my p		I had no reason to be aware of this service.
41.	a I did not use this service.		s. Somewhat adequate
	b Very adequate		Less than adequate
	c Adequate		·
42.	What did you find to be your greatest source of satisfaction	while a s	tudent at CCC?
43.	What did you find to be your greatest source of dissatisfaction	on while a	a student at CCC?

